

# Spirituality-based environmental literacy among prospective biology teacher in Indonesia: Analysis based on gender, accreditation, and semester-level aspects

*by* Turnitin Instructor

---

**Submission date:** 23-Jan-2024 09:40PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2276272939

**File name:** environmental\_problem\_Indonesia\_prospective\_biology\_teachers.pdf (448.55K)

**Word count:** 10952

**Character count:** 62015

# Spirituality-based environmental literacy among prospective biology teacher in Indonesia: Analysis based on gender, accreditation, and semester-level aspects

H. Husamah <sup>a,1,\*</sup>, Abdulkadir Rahardjanto <sup>a,2</sup>, Samsun Hadi <sup>a,3</sup>, Nurdyah Lestari <sup>b,4</sup>, Muh. Khaerul Ummah BK <sup>c,5</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biology Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Jl. Raya Tlogomas 246 Malang, East Java 65144, Indonesia

<sup>b</sup>Department of Biology Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Kupang, Jl. KH. Ahmad Dahlan, Kupang, East Nusa Tenggara 85228, Indonesia

<sup>c</sup>Department of Elementary School Teacher Education, Universitas Madako Tolitoli, Jl. UMADA No. 1, Tolitoli, Central Sulawesi 78864, Indonesia

<sup>1</sup>usya\_bio@umm.ac.id; <sup>2</sup>abdulkadir@umm.ac.id; <sup>3</sup>samsunhadi@umm.ac.id; <sup>4</sup>nurdyah.72@gmail.com; <sup>5</sup>muhkhaerulummahbk27@gmail.com

**\*For correspondence:**  
ritchelleorigenes@gmail.com

## Article history:

Received: 3 October 2023

Revised: 24 October 2023

Accepted: 6 November 2023

Published: 14 November 2023

10.22219/jpbi.v9i3.240  
© Copyright Husamah et al

This article is distributed  
under the terms of the  
Creative Commons Attribution  
License



62  
p-ISSN: 2442-3750  
e-ISSN: 2537-6204

## How to cite:

Husamah, H., Rahardjanto, A., Hadi, S., Lestari, N., & Ummah BK., M. K. (2023). Spirituality-based environmental literacy among prospective biology teacher in Indonesia: Analysis based on gender, accreditation, and semester-level aspects. *JPBI (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Indonesia)*, 9(3), 418-432. <https://doi.org/10.22219/jpbi.v9i3.240>

**Abstract:** Spirituality-based environmental literacy (SEL) influences ecological knowledge, environmental expectations, cognitive abilities, and environmental-related behavior. In this regard, this research aims to determine SEL among prospective biology teacher throughout Indonesia. This study included a cross-sectional survey. The target respondents are students of education study programs in the field of biology who come from various institutions in Indonesia. Gender aspects, study program accreditation status, and semester-level are positioned as respondent characteristics whose impact on environmental literacy is analyzed. The sample size is 632 students. The data collection instrument used was the ELIS. The data collection process is carried out online. Data was analyzed using SPSS. We discuss the results of different tests based on gender, different tests based on accreditation, and different tests based on semester-level. This research provides unique results. Women have higher levels of SEL than men. There are significant differences between men and women in terms of environmental literacy, although references do not always support this. Accreditation status does not support differences in SEL levels. Furthermore, the semester-level (final semester) has a higher SEL, but that is only in the knowledge aspect. On that basis, we suggest several aspects to consider in future research.

**Keywords:** environmental literacy, environmental problem, Indonesia, prospective biology teachers.

## Introduction

Environmental problems are an issue that requires a lot of attention in Indonesia (Case et al., 2007; Kurniawan & Managi, 2018). These environmental problems even harm people's lives (Fadli et al., 2019). Examples of environmental problematic cases that occur in Indonesia include deforestation (Austin et al., 2019; Islam et al., 2016; Petrenko et al., 2016; Tacconi et al., 2019), Water pollution that occurs due to domestic waste and waste originating from industrial activities (Belinawati et al., 2018; Garg et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2019), air pollution due to vehicle exhaust and forest and land fires (EoF team, 2019; Greenstone & Fan, 2019; Kusumaningtyas & Aldrian, 2016; Madsen, 2015; WHO, 2018), Soil pollution by pesticides and a drastic decrease in soil fertility in various regions (Hartemink, 2005; Joko et al., 2017; Leimona et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2019; Savci, 2012). These environmental problems will continue to grow and develop along with decreasing human concern. Environmental problems can be overcome, or at least reduced, by increasing public awareness of the importance of environmental quality and preservation. Public awareness of environmental quality and sustainability will be manifested in environmentally conscious behavior or environmentally friendly behavior (Hendryx et al., 2013; Hendryx & Ahern, 2008). It is hoped that environmental problems will

decrease with the spread of environmental education in various educational institutions from high schools to universities, especially with the increasing number of institutions implementing pro-environmental programs (Olsson, 2018; Schübler et al., 2019; Steg & Vlek, 2009; Szczytko et al., 2018; Ulutas & Köksalan, 2017). Environmental education material has been included in the curriculum in almost all countries (Afandi, 2013; Hudson, 2001; Sawitri, 2016). Specifically in Indonesia, as local content in regular educational institutions or including environmental issues in subject materials (Adisendjaja & Romlah, 2008; Muhaimin, 2015; Steele et al., 2015; Sudjoko, 2014). Innovation in learning so that students' environmental literacy (EL) can be better must continue to be encouraged (Farwati et al., 2017).

EL is primarily defined as awareness, sensitivity, and concern for the environment and its various problems, as well as cognitive/knowledge, psychomotor/skills, and affective/attitudes in finding various solutions to current problems and preventing the emergence of new problems (McBride et al., 2013). EL was measured with the ELI-A instrument (Szczytko et al., 2019), which in this research adds the spirituality aspect to the dimension being assessed because it is the effect of implementing a learning model that is in line with spiritual values (Husamah et al., 2022).

EL is the main goal of environmental education (Szczytko et al., 2019), where this aspect needs to be improved among prospective science/biology teachers (Mashfufah et al., 2018; Pe'er et al., 2007). The important role of teachers' EL in realizing the goals of environmental education and the ability to develop students' environmental literacy is very much needed (Altmeyer, 2021; Kidman & Casinader, 2019).

It is very important to encourage the application of EL, aimed at reducing environmental impacts and moving towards a more sustainable future (Silveira & Munford, 2020; Wardani et al., 2018). Universities play an important role in training professionals who have an important role in protecting the environment in the future (Heyl et al., 2013). Environmental awareness is an important requirement for the study of environmental pollution prevention and environmentally friendly attitudes (Tor & Gündüz, 2018). Higher education institutions must not forget their educational/formative goals. In this context, it is necessary to pay attention to how to view the environment to achieve changes in attitudes in students (Ibáñez et al., 2020).

Educational institutions need to carry out their roles efficiently, especially for the benefit of students (Sousa et al., 2021). In this research, we propose to study aspects of environmental literacy of students in higher education. We also aimed to analyze whether students' demographic characteristics influence these variables. Correspondingly, individuals' environmental attitudes, as well as their academic background knowledge, are potential factors that can help overcome these environmental challenges (Shad et al., 2020).

Education is a conscious and planned effort to create a learning atmosphere and learning process so that students actively develop their potential to have the strength of religious spirituality, self-control, personality, intelligence, noble morals, and skills needed by themselves, society, and nation (Siregar, 2015). Thus, environmental literacy cannot be separated from religious values or in a broader context called spirituality and religiosity (Clugston, 2016; Ezech, 2015; Maheshwari, 2016; Onovughe & Mordi, 2017; Ramli et al., 2022), so it is called spirituality-based environmental literacy (SEL). Even though the concepts of spirituality and religiosity are different, in this study the researchers consider them to be the same terms (Bertella, 2022; Ulluwishewa, 2017). Many scientists argue that mainstreaming spirituality holds the key to winning the ultimate challenge in sustainable development (Banerji & Pad, 2018). There are many interpretations of the word spirituality (Krempl, 2014). Today, people usually refer to spirituality as combination of humanistic psychology with mystical, esoteric traditions and eastern religions aimed at personal well-being and development (Georgiou, 2013). Spirituality involves the search for meaning and purpose in human life (Hyman & Handal, 2006; Rudolfsson et al., 2014; Rudolfsson et al., 2015). Spirituality arises rationally (Erenchinova & Proudchenko, 2018). Spirituality in a general sense is the quality or state of being spiritual or attached to questions and values related to religion (Hussain, 2020). Research on SEL is still rarely done. In the United States in particular, there is debate over the interpretation of Christians regarding their religious teachings as to whether this causes EL to be lower or higher (Murdoch, 2012).

Other research shows the need for the important role of EL for teachers in realizing the goals of environmental education and the importance of ability, to determine the level of EL in their students. The measuring instrument was applied to a sample of teachers. Analysis of the results revealed that the instrument meets the necessary psychometric requirements and can be considered a valid and reliable instrument for measuring teachers' environmental literacy levels. This research also revealed interesting results regarding the relationship between teachers' EL levels and their educational areas, accompanying learning area engagement, and environmental education training in general (An et al., 2022; Cincera et al., 2021). In this regard, an Environmental Literacy Instrument based on Spirituality (ELIS) has been developed (Husamah et al., 2022), in the Indonesian context. Therefore, this instrument has potency to be used.

Based on these studies, we conclude that research focused on student teachers is still rarely conducted, especially in Indonesia (as a whole). So far, there have been several studies that have

focused on prospective biology teachers but on the scale of one campus/university or do not describe the environmental literacy of prospective biology teachers throughout Indonesia. In this regard, this research aimed to determine the SEL of prospective biology teachers throughout Indonesia. We review it from the aspects of gender, study program accreditation status, and semester-level.

## Method

### Research Design and Participants

This research includes a cross-sectional survey. Data collection activities were carried out in August 2023. The target respondents were Department of Biology Education students from various universities throughout Indonesia. The characteristics of the respondents whose impact on the environmental literacy of student teachers analyzed in this research were gender, study program accreditation status, and semester-level. Accreditation is seen as describing quality more than other aspects, for example the graduates' grade point average (GPA). GPA is mostly determined internally; Meanwhile, accreditation is carried out by external institutions with comprehensive criteria.

The target population size for this survey is 650 people. Therefore, based on the Krejcie and Morgan Table, the minimum sample size with a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error of 5% (Kharuddin et al., 2020; Krejcie & Morgan, 1970) is a minimum of 620 students. Based on the screening process carried out, there were 632 students involved. We set inclusion criteria referring to previous research, i.e. that respondents were students who were prospective biology teachers (from the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, College of Teacher Training and Education, Faculty of Education, or Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences), come from universities in Indonesia, are Indonesian citizens, are still active students, and are willing and aware to be involved as respondents. We also set exclusion criteria, i.e. students from diploma, vocational, and postgraduate programs; not from an education study program, dropped out, and not completely fill in the requested data (Rahardjanto et al., 2022).

46

### Data Collection Instruments and Procedures

The data collection instrument used in this research was Environmental Literacy based on Spirituality (ELIS); consists of 26 items with acceptable validity and internal consistency. This instrument consists of four dimensions: ecological knowledge (five items), environmental expectations (seven items), cognitive skills (eight items), and behavior (six items). ELIS is recommended as a spirituality-based environmental literacy development measure for prospective biology teachers. The development and testing of this instrument involved a fairly large sample, so this has implications for statistical power. Cronbach's alpha coefficient was more significant than 0.80 for all items and most domains (total 0.96). The analysis results of internal consistency reveal that the value of CR is 0.73- 0.94 and Cronbach's alpha is 0.72-0.94 or  $\geq 0.7$  (meets the criteria). The AVE value of 0.52-0.65 or  $\geq 0.5$  (meets the criteria) (Husamah et al., 2022). The target respondents were very large and broad, covering all of Indonesia, so based on these conditions, the survey process was carried out online. Therefore, ELIS was transformed into an online questionnaire via Google form.

### Data Processing and Analysis

Survey data was downloaded in comma-separated-value (csv) format and checked and labeled by the authors using Microsoft Excel before analysis was carried out. After checking and labeling the data was completed, data was analyzed using SPSS software. Data on respondent characteristics were analyzed using frequencies and percentages. The mean and standard deviation scores were calculated for each item. Comparisons of two groups of students were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U Test, while comparisons of more than two groups used the Kruskal-Wallis H Test. The alpha value set in this study was 5%.

## Results and Discussion

Information on the demographic distribution of respondents is presented in Table 1. Based on Table 1, it can be seen that the majority of respondents were female (83.07%), came from study programs accredited B (53.16%), semester VII/VIII (30.47%), and came from Java (35.60%). Descriptive Statistics EL are presented in Table 2.

The number of female teachers and prospective teachers in Indonesia tends to be greater. This is due to social tradition factors which tend to view women as more appropriate as educators (Lefkowitz et al., 2014; Määttä & Uusiautti, 2020; Paul Halpern & Perry-Jenkins, 2016; Tašner et al., 2017; Yu, 2021), increasingly open access to higher education for women (Augustus, 2021; Brommesson et al., 2022; Ding, 2021; Moore, 1987; Parvazian et al., 2017), and historical factors that tend to see women being



more painstaking in their teaching or teaching careers (Abdulah, 2020; Ortan et al., 2021). Apart from that, this fact is supported by individual interests and skills, which women have. In Indonesia, the figure of male teachers is very low (de Gomes, 2018; Ho & Lam, 2014; Indriyany et al., 2021; Pancaningrum & Pasiningsih, 2023). These data are in line with international trends that men are generally less likely to work as teachers than women (Han et al., 2020). Globally, women now have excellent participation in education and employment. Current higher education policies have opened up educational and employment opportunities for women including individual and social mobility (for all groups) (David, 2015).

Most prospective biology teachers in Indonesia are on the island of Java or choose to carry out their education on the island of Java. This is supported by several factors. There are many universities and biology education study programs on the island of Java, providing a variety of choices for students. Many leading and reputable teaching universities have opened biology education study programs (Abbas, 2023). The interesting thing is that job opportunities after graduation tend to be greater on the island of Java because of the large number of schools, facilities, salaries, and making it a strong attraction for prospective teachers (Alifia & Pramana, 2021; Kominfo, 2023; Sabon et al., 2018; Sucahyo, 2023). Development on the island of Java is more advanced so that educational facilities and resources are more abundant, complete, and better (Arifianto, 2010; Khasanah, 2014).

54

Table 1. Distribution of respondents' demographics

Group		Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	107	16.93
	Female	525	83.07
Accreditation	A/Superior	236	37.34
	B/Very good	336	53.16
	C/Good	60	9.50
Study period / Semester-level	I/II	87	13.81
	III/IV	158	25.08
	V/VI	167	26.51
	VII/VIII	192	30.47
	≥IX	26	4.13
Place of Origin	53 a	225	35.60
	Bali/Nusa Tenggara	121	19.15
	Kalimantan	91	14.10
	Sumatera	145	22.94
	Sulawesi	30	4.75
	Maluku/Papua	20	3.16

30

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

Component	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Knowledge	632	21.6703	3.57190	5.00	25.00
Hope	632	30.6230	4.77634	4.00	35.00
Cognitive	632	33.9259	6.06749	.00	40.00
Behavior	632	25.0931	4.34883	.00	30.00
Total	632	111.3123	16.65419	10.00	130.00

Based on 14 results of descriptive statistics as presented in Table 2, the average Knowledge score is 21.67 out of a maximum score of 25.00 (classified as high). Judging from the Hope component, the student score was an average score of 30.62 out of a maximum score of 35.00 (classified as high). Meanwhile, cognitive ability is calculated at a score of 33.93 out of a maximum score of 40 (classified as high). Finally, based on the behavioral aspect, students' abilities are classified as high with an average score of 25.09 out of 30.00. In total, students' environmental literacy skills are at a high level with a score of 111.31 out of a maximum score of 130.00. Judging from the standard deviation of all components (knowledge = 3.57; Hope = 4.77; Cognitive = 6.07; Behavior = 4.34) and the total (16.65), it shows below 0.25SD, meaning that student scores are less diverse/less varied (tend to be uniform) and are more accurate with the mean. A low standard deviation shows that the data tends to be close to the mean with low variation/slightly less diversity. A high standard deviation means that the data is spread out from the mean value. This means that the scores are more variable or diverse (Ramachandran & Tsokos, 2021; A. F. Siegel, 2012).

### Differences by gender

Tests for different aspects of gender were carried out using the Mann-Whitney Test. The results of the Mann-Whitney Test for gender aspects are presented in Table 3 (Ranks) followed by Table 4 Test Statistics to determine the U and W values.

Table 3. Mann-Whitney Test (Ranks) gender aspect

Component	Gender	Ranks		
		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
Knowledge	Male	107	296.17	31690.50
	Female	525	320.64	168337.50
	Total	632		
Hope	Male	107	270.57	28951.50
	Female	525	325.86	171076.50
	Total	632		
Cognitive	Male	107	291.46	31186.50
	Female	525	321.60	168841.50
	Total	632		
Behavior	Male	107	292.99	31349.50
	Female	525	321.29	168678.50
	Total	632		
Total	Male	107	283.74	30360.00
	Female	525	323.18	169668.00
	Total	632		

Based on Table 3, it can be explained that (1) Knowledge: The average ranking of the female group (320.64) is higher than that of the male group (296.17); (2) Hope: the average ranking of the female group (325.86) is higher than that of the male group (270.57); (3) Cognitive: the average rating of the female group (321.60) is higher than that of men (291.60); (4) Behavior: the average rating of the female group (321.29) is higher than that of men (292.99). Thus, in total the average ranking of the female group (323.18) is higher than that of men (283.74) in the SEL aspect.

Table 4. Results of the Mann-Whitney Test (Test Statistics) on gender aspects

	Test Statistics <sup>a</sup>				
	Knowledge	Hope	Cognitive	Behavior	Total
Mann-Whitney U	25912.500	23173.500	25408.500	25571.500	24582.000
Wilcoxon W	31690.500	28951.500	31186.500	31349.500	30360.000
Z	-1.285	-2.886	-1.570	-1.469	-2.038
ymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.199	.004	.116	.142	.042

a. Grouping Variable: Gender

Judging from Knowledge Table 4, it shows that the U value is 25912 and the W value is 31690. If converted to the Z value, the value is -1.285. The Sig value or P value is 0.199 > 0.05. If the p-value is > the critical limit of 0.05 then there is no significant difference between men and women. From Hope's side, Table 4 shows the U value is 23173 and the W value is 28951. If converted to the Z value, the value is -2.886. The Sig value or P value is 0.004 < 0.05. If the p value is < the critical limit of 0.05 then there is a significant difference between men and women. From the Cognitive component, Table 4 shows a U value of 25408 and a W value of 31186. If converted to a Z value, the value is -1.570. The Sig value or P value is 0.116 > 0.05. If the p value is > the critical limit of 0.05 then there is no significant difference between men and women. From the Behavior component, Table 4 shows a U value of 25571 and a W value of 31349. If converted to a Z value, the value is -1.469. The Sig value or P value is 0.142 > 0.05. If the p-value is < the critical limit of 0.05, then there is no significant difference between men and women. Thus, in total Table 4 shows a U value of 24582 and a W value of 30360. If converted to a Z value, the value is -2.038. The Sig value or P value is 0.042 < 0.05. If the p value is < the critical limit of 0.05, then there is a significant difference SEL between men and women.

We cannot conclude that women have better SEL than men. This is because the level of SEL tends to vary between individuals. Anyone can have good SEL, regardless of gender (Ha et al., 2023; Lloyd-Strovas et al., 2018; Mardiani et al., 2021; Örs, 2022; Parwati et al., 2021; Putra et al., 2021). Several things such as educational factors and environmental awareness are related to strengthening one's SEL (Aminrad et al., 2013; Debrah et al., 2021; Edsard & Broich, 2020; Flavia et al., 2016; Ha et al., 2022; Kuthe et al., 2020). However, several previous studies have empirically shown that women tend to care



more about environmental issues and sustainable development issues (Hunt, 2020; Li et al., 2022; Santoso, 2022; Shinbrot et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2021). The most likely reason is that there are social, cultural and traditional role/task factors that cause women to be more involved in household tasks, this is often related to the environment (Li et al., 2022; Schueman, 2023; Zhao et al., 2021).

### Differences based on accreditation<sup>2)</sup>

Tests for different aspects of accreditation are carried out using the Kruskal-Wallis Test. The results of the Kruskal-Wallis Test for accreditation aspects are presented in Table 5 (Ranks) followed by Table 6 Test Statistics to determine the H value.

Table 5. Results of the Kruskal-Wallis Test (Ranks) for accreditation aspects

		Ranks					
	Accreditation	N	Mean Rank of Knowledge	Mean Rank of Hope	Mean Rank of Cognitive	Mean Rank of Behavior	Mean Rank of Total
Knowledge	A/Superior	236	314.70	316.79	316.41	297.75	311.74
	B/Very good	336	321.24	318.45	318.61	323.63	320.80
	C/Good	60	297.05	304.44	305.01	350.33	311.13
	Total	632					

Table 6. Results of the Kruskal-Wallis Test (Test Statistics) on aspects of accreditation

		Test Statistics <sup>a,b</sup>				
		Knowledge	Hope	Cognitive	Behavior	Total
Kruskal-Wallis H		.961	.307	.288	5.112	.399
df		2	2	2	2	2
ymp. Sig.		.618	.858	.866	.078	.819

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable: Accreditation

Table 5 shows that from the Knowledge component, the average ranking for accreditation group B (321) is higher than A (314) and C (297). Based on the Hope component, the average rating for accreditation group B (318) is higher than A (316) and C (304). From the Cognitive component, the average ranking for accreditation group B (318) is higher than A (316) and C (305). Meanwhile, for the Behavior component, the average rating for accreditation group C (350) is higher than A (297) and B (323). In total, the average ranking for accreditation group B (320) is higher than A (311) and C (311). Furthermore, Table 6 provides information that in this case, the p-value is 0.618 (knowledge), 0.858 (hope), 0.866 (cognitive), 0.078 (behavior), and 0.819 (total). This value is more than the critical limit of 0.05, which means there is no difference between groups A, B, and C.

The accreditation status of a campus or study program is considered to provide a good basis for strengthening all aspects of student literacy (Adiyaman & Ozmantar, 2021; Rachmatullah et al., 2016; Saunders, 2008). Accreditation status is related to the quality of learning, access to resources, the quality of the implemented curriculum, and quality assurance, monitoring, and evaluation (Abdullah et al., 2012; Benbassat et al., 2022; Darajat, 2018; Kis, 2005; Machumu et al., 2014; Randahn & Niedermeier, 2017). However, we need to realize that literacy - including environmental literacy in this case - is also more influenced by factors originating from each individual, such as motivation, interest, hard work and personal commitment to developing abilities and competencies (Chen, 2015; Randahn & Niedermeier, 2017). Someone who has a high dedication to developing their literacy may achieve a better or higher level of literacy even though they come from a campus or study program with low accreditation status (good or very good or not yet superior status). Likewise, vice versa, even though a student comes from a superior accredited campus or study program but they does not have a strong commitment to learning or developing skills and competencies, he may not achieve a better or higher level of literacy.

### Differences based on semester-level<sup>2)</sup>

Tests of different semester-level aspects were carried out using the Kruskal-Wallis Test. The results of the Kruskal-Wallis Test for semester-level aspects are presented in Table 7 (Ranks) followed by Table 8 Test Statistics to determine the H value.



Table 7. Results of the Kruskal-Wallis Test (Ranks) for semester-level aspects

		Ranks					
	Year	N	Mean Rank of Knowledge	Mean Rank of Hope	Mean Rank of Cognitive	Mean Rank of Behavior	Mean Rank of Total
Knowledge	I/II	87	348.31	310.48	305.80	323.64	318.73
	III/IV	158	283.09	296.84	298.01	294.69	290.72
	V/VI	167	313.86	317.91	310.10	325.43	315.99
	VII/VIII	192	321.48	325.99	332.62	316.63	327.60
	≥IX	26	368.98	352.83	362.52	342.62	362.83
Total		630					

30

Table 8. Results of the Kruskal-Wallis Test (Test Statistics) for semester-level aspects

		Test Statistics <sup>a,b</sup>				
		Knowledge	Hope	Cognitive	Behavior	Total
Kruskal-Wallis H	df	10.647	3.564	5.377	3.357	5.572
	Asymp. Sig.	.031	.468	.251	.500	.233

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable: Year

Table 7 shows that in the Knowledge component the average ranking of the group with semester-level ≥IX is higher than the other four groups. In terms of the Hope component, the average ranking of the group with semester-level ≥IX is higher than the other four groups. The Cognitive component shows that the average rank of the group with semester-level ≥IX is higher compared to the other four groups. Meanwhile, the Behavior component shows that the average ranking of the group with semester-level ≥IX is higher compared to the other four groups. Based on totals, Table 7 shows that the average rank of the group with semester level ≥IX is higher compared to the other four groups. Furthermore, Table 8 shows that in this case, the p-value is 0.031 (knowledge), 0.468 (hope), cognitive (0.251) behavior (0.500), and total (0.233) where only knowledge is less than <0.05. This means that there are only significant differences between groups in the knowledge aspect.

Final semester students tend to have higher knowledge in the field of students with fewer semesters due to several reasons, for example, the accumulation of a lot of learning material (learning experience), specialization (they study a specific topic), final assignment (requires the application of existing knowledge), focus and depth, which encourages a stronger understanding of something), intense guidance from lecturers (gaining deeper insight), as well as motivation and dedication (career goals and application of goals) (Adams & Blair, 2019; Giang et al., 2015; Okubai et al., 2023; Tadese et al., 2022). However, it should be noted that knowledge - especially related to SEL - does not always increase automatically with semester-level. This is because individual student factors in taking advantage of existing learning opportunities, how hard they study and the strength of their interest in something have a greater influence on literacy levels. (Dunlosky et al., 2013; Harackiewicz et al., 2016; Kuh et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2022; Meşe & Sevilen, 2021; Rugutt & Chemosit, 2005; Tong et al., 2022). This research is novel in terms of revealing facts about the condition of Spirituality-based environmental literacy (SEL) among prospective biology teacher students in Indonesia. There is not much research that links environmental literacy as a target that must be achieved in environmental education with aspects of spirituality. In fact, it is well recognized that a better understanding of the spirituality-sustainability relationship will enable more effective, sustainable, just, ethical and culturally acceptable development programs (Luetz et al., 2023). It takes the view that spirituality and sustainability are vitally linked and that there is no sustainability without spirituality (Dhiman, 2016). Spiritually based sustainable living is an endless path of reason and faith. Reason without faith gives way to pride, arrogance, and all that comes with it, while faith without reason denies humanity, denying who we are as human beings. Sustainability without paying attention to spirituality is a dead end, because it ignores the essence of oneself (Carroll, 2004).

Environmental education based on spirituality is a form of idea that integrates spirituality and pedagogical practice (Molodychenko et al., 2021). This is a theocentric worldview that centers on a religious-spiritual relationship with God to be included as part of environmental education (Muhamad et al., 2021). Religious traditions have always played a central role in supporting society to survive and be sustainable both at the individual and community levels (Freston, 2019). This integration is very natural considering that around 85% of the world's population is religious. In a broader sense, a philosophy of life, or stance on life, is important for each person to have a fundamental vision of the good life and a motivation to act. After all, people do not act from an empty place, but walk with a certain outlook on life (Jansen et al., 2019).



52

In an effort to strengthen this culture, it is necessary to design learning conditions that enable students to learn and develop their competencies and realize the importance of spirituality. In this condition the role of educators is very vital. Educators must always consistently instill in students that aspects of spirituality and sustainability are part of the competencies that must become a way of life and culture (Alika et al., 2023; Amalia et al., 2023; Fitri et al., 2023; Maruti et al., 2023; Musa & Kamal, 2023; Zulfa et al., 2023).

## Conclusion

13

This research provides unique results. Women have higher levels of SEL than men. There are significant differences between men and women in terms of environmental literacy, although references do not always support this. Next, accreditation status does not support differences in SEL levels among students. Furthermore, the semester-level (final semester) has a higher SEL, but that is only in the knowledge aspect.

This research does not analyze based on grade point average (GPA), university origin, college accreditation, age, initial capital (from school when they were in high school), the status of lecturers who teach environmental science courses (educational status: master, doctor, professor; certification status: certified and not certified; teaching experience: less than five years and more than five years), and learning pattern (mode: online, offline, blended; strategy: student-centered learning or not). Some of these things are very likely to influence SEL levels so they are very worthy of consideration in further research.

This research has future implications. Data or information regarding the SEL level of prospective biology teachers in Indonesia can be a basis for implementing environmental education in teacher colleges. The gender aspect needs special attention, as well as the hope that the higher the semester level will show the accumulation of student competence. Even though the study program accreditation aspect does not affect SEL, as an effort to guarantee the quality of educational provision, this aspect must be ensured to be at a superior level. It could be that this aspect of accreditation is related to other aspects that have not been analyzed or explored in this research.

## Acknowledgment

35

Respectful appreciation be upon the Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang who has facilitated the research through the Blockgrant Research Scheme (Funding in 2023).

9

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## Author Contributions

**H. Husamah:** conducting the research, collecting data, writing original article, and revision **A. Rahardjanto:** methodology, review and revision; **S. Hadi:** collecting data and review; **N. Lestari:** collecting data and review; **M. K. Ummah BK:** collecting data and review.

## References

- Abbas, H. (2023). *Mutu guru, LPTK, dan "Bologna Process"*. Kompas. <https://www.kompas.id/baca/opini/2023/07/11/mutu-guru-lptk-dan-bologna-process>
- Abdulahi, B. A. (2020). Determinants of teachers' job satisfaction: School culture perspective. *Jurnal Humaniora*, 32(2), 151–162. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jh.52685>
- Abdullah, S., Wahab, D. A., & Hussein, S. M. (2012). Development of a quality assurance plan in line with UKM's status as a self-accreditation institution and research university. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 59(1993), 95–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.251>
- Adams, R. V., & Blair, E. (2019). Impact of time management behaviors on undergraduate engineering students' performance. *SAGE Open*, 9(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244018824506>
- Adisendjaja, Y. H., & Romlah, O. (2008). *Pembelajaran pendidikan lingkungan hidup: Belajar dari pengalaman dan belajar dari alam*. Jurusan Pendidikan Biologi Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. [http://file.upi.edu/Direktori/FPMIPA/JUR.\\_PEND.\\_BIOLOGI/195512191980021-](http://file.upi.edu/Direktori/FPMIPA/JUR._PEND._BIOLOGI/195512191980021-)

[YUSUF\\_HILMI\\_ADISENDJAJA/PEMBELAJARAN\\_PENDIDIKAN\\_LINGKUNGAN\\_HIDUP.pdf](#)

- Adiyaman, H., & Ozmantar, Z. K. (2021). An Analysis of a School's PYP Accreditation in Terms of System Elements. *International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies*, 9(4), 247. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.9n.4p.247>
- Afandi, R. (2013). Integrasi pendidikan lingkungan hidup melalui pembelajaran ips di sekolah dasar sebagai alternatif menciptakan sekolah hijau. *Jurnal Pedagogia*, 2(1), 98–108. <https://pedagogia.umsida.ac.id/index.php/pedagogia/article/view/1321>
- Akkor, Ö., & Gündüz, Ş. (2018). The study of university students' awareness and attitude towards environmental education in Northern Cyprus. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(3), 1057–1062. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmsste/81366>
- Alifia, U., & Pramana, R. P. (2021). Dukungan pengembangan karier bagi guru sangat lemah dan membuat status ASN hanya jadi "Zona Nyaman." In *SMERU Research Institute*. SMERU Research Institute. [https://www.linkedin.com/posts/smeru-research-institute\\_programrise-podcastpendidikan-reformunreformed-activity-6997748731081093120-E34z?trk=public\\_profile\\_like\\_view](https://www.linkedin.com/posts/smeru-research-institute_programrise-podcastpendidikan-reformunreformed-activity-6997748731081093120-E34z?trk=public_profile_like_view)
- Alika, K. H., Andriany, J., Oktavia, S., Agustina, R., Nursusanti, A., & Wahyuni, A. (2023). Meretas filsafat pendidikan materialisme-naturalisme dalam konteks pendidikan dasar. *Madako Elementary School*, 2(1), 48–61. <https://doi.org/10.56630/mes.v2i1.60>
- Altmeyer, S. (2021). Religious education for ecological sustainability: an initial reality check using the example of everyday decision-making. *Journal of Religious Education*, 69(1), 57–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40839-020-00131-5>
- Amalia, A. N., Putriani, I., & Fauzi, A. (2023). Pengembangan multimedia PANDACA (Pandai tanda baca) untuk siswa sekolah dasar. *Madako Elementary School*, 2(1), 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.56630/mes.v2i1.162>
- Aminrad, Z., Sayed Zakariya, S. Z. B., Samad Hadi, A., & Sakari, M. (2013). Relationship between awareness, knowledge and attitudes towards environmental education among secondary school students in Malaysia. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 22(9), 1326–1333. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.22.09.275>
- An, F., Yu, J., & Xi, L. (2022). Relationship between perceived teacher support and learning engagement among adolescents: Mediation role of technology acceptance and learning motivation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(September), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.992464>
- Arifianto, E. (2010). *Mengukur kinerja kota-kota di Indonesia dengan pendekatan city development index (CDI): Kajian studi pada 32 kota di pulau Jawa tahun 2008*. Universitas Indonesia. <https://lib.ui.ac.id/file?file=digital/131609-T%2027560-Mengukur%20kinerja-HA.pdf>
- Arshad, H. M., Saleem, K., Shafi, S., Ahmad, T., & Kanwal, S. (2020). Environmental awareness, concern, attitude and behavior of university students: A comparison across academic disciplines. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 30(1), 561–570. <https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/122617>
- Augustus, J. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on women working in higher education. *Frontiers in Education*, 6(May), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.648365>
- Austin, K. G., Schwantes, A., Gu, Y., & Kasibhatla, P. S. (2019). What causes deforestation in Indonesia? *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaf6db>
- Banerji, S., & Prasad, R. (2018). Sustainable development 2050: Spirituality-the missing link. *Purushartha*, 11(2), 86–103. <https://doi.org/10.21844/pajmes.v11i2.14631>
- Belinawati, R. A. P., Soesilo, T. E. B., Asteria, D., & Harmain, R. (2018). Sustainability: Citarum River, government role on the face of SDGs (water and sanitation). *E3S Web of Conferences*, 52, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20185200038>
- Benbassat, J., Baumal, R., & Cohen, R. (2022). Quality assurance of undergraduate medical education in Israel by continuous monitoring and prioritization of the accreditation standards. *Rambam Maimonides Medical Journal*, 13(3), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.5041/RMMJ.10480>
- Bertella, G. (2022). 'Spirituality in practice' in sustainability tourism research. *Journal of Qualitative Research in Tourism*, 3(1), 65–72. <https://doi.org/10.4337/jqt.2022.0003>
- Brommesson, D., Erlingsson, G., Ödalen, J., & Fogelgren, M. (2022). "Teach more, but do not expect any applause": Are Women Doubly Discriminated Against in Universities' Recruitment Processes? *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 20(3), 437–450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-021-09421-5>
- Carroll, J. E. (2004). *Sustainability and Spirituality*. State University of New York Press. <https://sunypress.edu/Books/S/Sustainability-and-Spirituality>
- Case, M., Ardiansyah, F., & Spector, E. (2007). Climate change in Indonesia implications for humans and nature. In *International Climate Change Programme*. [http://awsassets.panda.org/downloads/indonesian\\_climate\\_change\\_impacts\\_report\\_14nov07.pdf](http://awsassets.panda.org/downloads/indonesian_climate_change_impacts_report_14nov07.pdf)
- Chen, A. (2015). Operationalizing physical literacy for learners: Embodying the motivation to move. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, 4(2), 125–131.

- <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2015.03.005>
- Cincera, J., Zalesak, J., Kolenaty, M., Simonova, P., & Johnson, B. (2021). We love them anyway: outdoor environmental education programs from the accompanying teachers' perspective. *Journal of Outdoor and Environmental Education*, 24(3), 243–257. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42322-021-00084-9>
- Clugston, R. (2016). The Earth Charter, Spirituality and Sustainable Development. *Journal of Oriental Studies*, 26, 155–170. [https://www.totetu.org/assets/media/paper/j026\\_155.pdf](https://www.totetu.org/assets/media/paper/j026_155.pdf)
- Darojat, O. (2018). How are the results of quality assurance programs used to inform practices at a distance higher education? *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 19(1), 75–88. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.382730>
- David, M. E. (2015). Women and gender equality in higher education? *Education Sciences*, 5(1), 10–25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci5010010>
- de Gomes, F. (2018). Dampak dominasi perempuan dalam profesi guru PAUD. *JIPD (Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Dasar)*, 2(1), 86–97. <https://unikastpaulus.ac.id/jurnal/index.php/jipd/article/view/258>
- Debrah, J. K., Vidal, D. G., & Dinis, M. A. P. (2021). Raising awareness on solid waste management through formal education for sustainability: A developing countries evidence review. *Recycling*, 6(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/recycling6010006>
- Dhiman, S. (2016). The Case for Eco-spirituality: Everybody Can Do Something. In S. Dhiman & J. Marques (Eds.), *Spirituality and Sustainability: New Horizons and Exemplary Approaches* (pp. 1–252). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-34235-1>
- Ding, H. (2021). Gender differences in teaching and research performance of university teachers based on discrete data analysis. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5066668>
- Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Marsh, E. J., Nathan, M. J., & Willingham, D. T. (2013). Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques: promising directions from cognitive and educational psychology. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 14(1), 4–58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612453266>
- Edsard, H. E., & Broich, T. (2020). The impact of environmental education on environmental and renewable energy technology awareness: Empirical evidence from Colombia. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 18(4), 611–634. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-019-09988-x>
- EoF team. (2019). *Peat fires raging as Indonesian Government turns back the clock on restoration* (1st Ed.). Eyes on the Forest (EoF). <http://eyesontheforest.or.id/reports/peat-fires-raging-as-indonesian-government-turns-back-the-clock-on-restoration>
- Erenchinova, E., & Proudchenko, E. (2018). Spirituality and moral values. In *SHS Web of Conferences* (Vol. 50, p. 01050). <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20185001050>
- Ezeh, M.-N. E. (2015). A Christian Approach to Education for Sustainable Development. *Journal of US-China Public Administration*, 12(5), 337–344. <https://doi.org/10.17265/1548-6591/2015.05.001>
- Fadli, S., Nazaruddin, T., & Mukhlis, M. (2019). The state's responsibility for forest fires in Indonesia in terms of an international legal perspective. *Suloh: Jurnal Fakultas Hukum Universitas Malikussaleh*, 7(2), 48–76. <https://ojs.unimal.ac.id/index.php/suloh/article/download/2034/1178>
- Farwati, R., Permanasari, A., Friman, H., & Suhery, T. (2017). Potret literasi lingkungan mahasiswa calon guru kimia di Universitas Sriwijaya. *Journal of Science Education And Practice*, 1(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.33751/jsep.v1i1.376>
- Fitri, N. W. N., Fauzi, A., & Widiastuti, S. (2023). Pengembangan game edukasi math hero's adventure pada pembelajaran matematika kelas IV sekolah dasar. *Madako Elementary School*, 2(1), 85–99. <https://doi.org/10.56630/mes.v2i1.163>
- Flavian, H. (2016). Towards teaching and beyond: Strengthening education by understanding students' self-awareness development. *Power and Education*, 8(1), 88–100. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1757743815624118>
- Freston, P. (2019). Religion and the sustainable development goals. *Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals: Global Governance Challenges*, 152–169. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429029622>
- Garg, T., Hamilton, S. E., Hochard, J. P., Kresch, E. P., & Talbot, J. (2018). (Not so) gently down the stream: River pollution and health in Indonesia. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 92, 35–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2018.08.011>
- Georgiou, G. (2013). *Borealis center: A self-sustainable center for culture, education and spiritual development* [Neapolis University]. <http://hephaestus.nup.ac.cy/handle/11728/7356>
- Giang, K. B., Minh, H. Van, Hien, N. Van, Ngoc, N. M., & Hinh, N. D. (2015). Knowledge of primary health care and career choice at primary health care settings among final year medical students – Challenges to human resources for health in Vietnam. *Global Public Health*, 10(December), S120–S130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2014.986157>
- Greenstone, M., & Fan, Q. (2019). *Indonesia's worsening air quality and its impact on life expectancy* (Issue March). <https://aqli.epic.uchicago.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/Indonesia-Report.pdf>



- Ha, C., Chen, Y., Zhang, J., & Dong, S. (2023). The effectiveness of lifestyle interventions on ecological literacy: A contribution to the underlying mechanism in linguistic ecology. *PloS One*, 18(6), e0287286. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287286>
- Ha, C., Huang, G., Zhang, J., & Dong, S. (2022). Assessing ecological literacy and its application based on linguistic ecology: a case study of Guiyang City, China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 29(13), 18741–18754. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16753-7>
- Han, S. W., Borgonovi, F., & Guerriero, S. (2020). Why don't more boys want to become teachers? The effect of a gendered profession on students' career expectations. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 103, 101645. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101645>
- Harackiewicz, J. M., Smith, J. L., & Priniski, S. J. (2016). Interest matters: The importance of promoting interest in education. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 3(2), 220–227. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732216655542>
- Hartemink, a E. (2005). Plantation in the tropics: Environmental issues. *Outlook on Agriculture*, 34(1), 11–21. <https://doi.org/10.5367/0000000053295150>
- Hendryx, M., & Ahern, M. M. (2008). Relations between health indicators and residential proximity to coal mining in West Virginia. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(4), 669–671. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2007.113472>
- Hendryx, M., Ahern, M. M., & Zullig, K. J. (2013). Improving the environmental quality component of the county health rankings model. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(4), 727–732. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.301016>
- Heyl, M., Díaz, E. M., & Cifuentes, L. (2013). Environmental attitudes and behaviors of college students: A case study conducted at a Chilean university. *Revista Latinoamericana de Psicología*, 45(3), 487–500. <https://doi.org/10.14349/rlp.v45i3.1489>
- Ho, D., & Lam, H. (2014). A study of male participation in early childhood education: Perspectives of school stakeholders. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 28(5), 498–509. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-02-2013-0024>
- Hudson, S. J. (2001). Challenges for environmental education: Issues and ideas for the 21st century. *BioScience*, 51(4), 283. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2001\)051\[0283:cfeeia\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0283:cfeeia]2.0.co;2)
- Hunt, E. (2020). The eco gender gap: why is saving the planet seen as women's work? In *Green economy*. The Guardian. <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/feb/06/eco-gender-gap-why-saving-planet-seen-womens-work>
- Husamah, H., Suwono, H., Nur, H., & Dharmawan, A. (2022). The development and validation of environmental literacy instrument based on spirituality for prospective science teachers. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 18(12), em2206. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/12732>
- Hussain, K. (2020). Spirituality in Islam. In *Essentials of Islamic Sciences* (Issue January, pp. 469–490). Adam Publishers & Distributors. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342976726\\_SPIRITUALITY\\_IN\\_ISLAM](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342976726_SPIRITUALITY_IN_ISLAM)
- Hyman, C., & Handal, P. J. (2006). Definitions and Evaluation of Religion and Spirituality Items by Religious Professionals: A Pilot Study. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 45(2), 264–282. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/27512927>
- Ibáñez, M. E., Ferrer, D. M., Muñoz, L. V. A., Claros, F. M., & Ruiz, F. J. O. (2020). University as change manager of attitudes towards environment (The importance of environmental education). *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12114568>
- Indriyany, I. A., Hikmawan, M. D., & Utami, W. K. (2021). Gender dan pendidikan tinggi: Studi tentang urgensi kampus berperspektif gender. *JlIP: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 6(1), 55–72. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jiip.v6i1.9376>
- Islam, M. S., Pei, Y. H., & Mangharam, S. (2016). Trans-Boundary haze pollution in Southeast Asia: Sustainability through plural environmental governance. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 8(5), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su8050499>
- Jansen, A., van der Linden, M., Hasselaar, J. J., & Ganzevoort, R. (2019). *Spiritual Capital and Sustainable Development* (Issue June). Amsterdam Centre for Religion and Sustainable Development, VU University Amsterdam. [https://assets-us-01.kc-usercontent.com/d8b6f1f5-816c-005b-1dc1-e363dd7ce9a5/35382d27-dc72-4274-a0cb-eb93c871cc43/Spritiual\\_Capital\\_English.pdf](https://assets-us-01.kc-usercontent.com/d8b6f1f5-816c-005b-1dc1-e363dd7ce9a5/35382d27-dc72-4274-a0cb-eb93c871cc43/Spritiual_Capital_English.pdf)
- Joko, T., Anggoro, S., Sunoko, H. R., & Rachmawati, S. (2017). Pesticides usage in the soil quality degradation potential in Wanasari subdistrict, Brebes, Indonesia. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*, 2017(5896191), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5896191>
- Kharuddin, A. F., Azid, N., Mustafa, Z., Kamari, M. N., Ku Ibrahim, K. F., & Kharuddin, D. (2020). Determination of sample size in early childcare centre (TASKA) service project in Malaysia: Classification and analytical approach. *Albukhary Social Business Journal*, 1(2), 104–112. <https://doi.org/10.55862/asbjv1i2a010>
- Khasanah, N. (2014). *Ternyata, ini alasan pendidikan di Jawa lebih berkualitas*. Kompasiana.

- <https://www.kompasiana.com/noerchasanahkinar/54f868f5a333113a038b4577/ternyata-ini-alasan-pendidikan-di-jawa-lebih-berkualitas>
- Kidman, G., & Casinader, N. (2019). Developing teachers' environmental literacy through inquiry-based practices. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 15(6), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/103065>
- Kis, V. (2005). Quality assurance in tertiary education: Current practices in OECD countries and a literature review on potential effects. *Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development. Directorate for Education. Education and Training Policy Division*, August, 47. <http://www.oecd.org/education/skills-beyond-school/38006910.pdf>
- Kominfo. (2023). *Mutu guru tentukan kualitas pendidikan bangsa*. Kominfo. <https://tanjabarkab.go.id/site/mutu-guru-tentukan-kualitas-pendidikan-bangsa/>
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30, 607–610. [https://home.kku.ac.th/sompong/guest\\_speaker/KrejcieandMorgan\\_article.pdf](https://home.kku.ac.th/sompong/guest_speaker/KrejcieandMorgan_article.pdf)
- Krempf, S. (2014). Is spirituality the essence of sustainability? In J. Lunn & S. Bizjak (Eds.), *The Truth Is Out There* (pp. 169–181). Black Swan Press. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264004441\\_Is\\_Spirituality\\_the\\_Essence\\_of\\_Sustainability](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264004441_Is_Spirituality_the_Essence_of_Sustainability)
- Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J., & Buckley, J. A. (2006). What matters to student success: A review of the literature. *Commissioned Report for the National Symposium on Postsecondary Student Success Spearheading a Dialog on Student Success*, 18(July), 156. <http://cpe.ky.gov/NR/rdonlyres/AFA304F0-C125-40C2-96E5-7A8C98915797/0/WhatMattersToStudentSuccessAReviewoftheLiterature.pdf>
- Kurniawan, R., & Managi, S. (2018). Economic growth and sustainable development in Indonesia: An assessment. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 54(3), 339–361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2018.1450962>
- Kusumaningtyas, S. D. A., & Aldrian, E. (2016). Impact of the June 2013 Riau province Sumatera smoke haze event on regional air pollution. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(7). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/7/075007>
- Kuthe, A., Körfgen, A., Stötter, J., & Keller, L. (2020). Strengthening their climate change literacy: A case study addressing the weaknesses in young people's climate change awareness. *Applied Environmental Education & Communication*, 19(4), 375–388. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1533015X.2019.1597661>
- Lefkowitz, E. S., Shearer, C. L., Gillen, M. M., & Espinosa-Hernandez, G. (2014). How gendered attitudes relate to women's and men's sexual behaviors and beliefs. *Sexuality & Culture*, 18(4), 833–846. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12119-014-9225-6>
- Leimona, B., Amarusaman, S., Arifin, B., Yasmin, F., Hasan, F., Agusta, H., Sprang, P., Jaffee, S., & Frias, J. (2015). Indonesia's 'green Agriculture' strategies and policies: Closing the gap between aspirations and application. In *Occasional Paper 23*. The World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF). <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/sea/Publications/files/occasionalpaper/OP0003-15.pdf>
- Li, Y., Wang, B., & Saechang, O. (2022). Is female a more pro-environmental gender? Evidence from China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19138002>
- Liu, H., Chen, X., & Liu, X. (2022). Factors influencing secondary school students' reading literacy: An analysis based on XGBoost and SHAP methods. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 948612. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.948612>
- Lloyd-Strovas, J., Moseley, C., & Arsuffi, T. (2018). Environmental literacy of undergraduate college students: Development of the environmental literacy instrument (ELI). *School Science and Mathematics*, 118(3–4), 84–92. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12266>
- Luetz, J. M., Nichols, E., du Plessis, K., & Nunn, P. D. (2023). Spirituality and Sustainable Development: A Systematic Word Frequency Analysis and an Agenda for Research in Pacific Island Countries. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(3), 0–14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032201>
- Luo, P., Kang, S., Apip, Zhou, M., Lyu, J., Aisyah, S., Binaya, M., Regmi, R. K., & Nover, D. (2019). Water quality trend assessment in Jakarta: A rapidly growing Asian megacity. *PLoS ONE*, 14(7), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219009>
- Määttä, K., & Uusiautti, S. (2020). Nine contradictory observations about girls' and boys' upbringing and education – The strength-based approach as the way to eliminate the gender gap. *Frontiers in Education*, 5(July), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2020.00134>
- Machumu, H. J., Kisanga, S. H., Management, T., & Specialist, E. (2014). Quality assurance practices in higher education institutions: Lesson from Africa. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 5(16), 144–157. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/234635834.pdf>
- Madsen, M. A. (2015, March). Breathing easier: Indonesia works towards cleaner air. *IAEA Bulletin*, 56(1), 20–21. [https://www.iaea.org/sites/default/files/bulletin\\_march\\_-\\_indonesia\\_cleaner\\_air.pdf](https://www.iaea.org/sites/default/files/bulletin_march_-_indonesia_cleaner_air.pdf)

- Maheshwari, N. (2016). Integrating Spiritual Dimension in Sustainable development: A Vedic Perspective. *IIMS Journal of Management Science*, 7(2), 209. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-173x.2016.00019.1>
- Mardiani, N. D., Husamah, H., Fatmawati, D., Miharja, F. J., & Fauzi, A. (2021). Environmental literacy of students in Al-Rifa'ie Modern Islamic Boarding School, Malang Regency-Indonesia based on gender differences and parents' occupation. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia*, 9(2), 317–328. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jpsi.v9i2.19316>
- Maruti, E. S., Hidayat, H. A., & Ilfani, D. A. (2023). Peran guru dan orang tua dalam pembelajaran daring di sekolah dasar. *Madako Elementary School*, 2(1), 100-109. <https://doi.org/10.56630/mes.v2i1.64>
- Mashfufah, A., Nurkamto, J., Sajidan, & Wiranto. (2018). Environmental literacy among biology pre-service teachers: A pilot study. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2014(December 2020). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5054444>
- McBride, B. B., Brewer, C. A., Berkowitz, A. R., & Borrie, W. T. (2013). Environmental literacy, ecological literacy, ecoliteracy: What do we mean and how did we get here? *Ecosphere*, 4(5), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1890/ES13-00075.1>
- Meşe, E., & Sevilen, Ç. (2021). Factors influencing EFL students' motivation in online learning: A qualitative case study. *Journal of Educational Technology & Online Learning*, 4(1), 11–22. <http://doi.org/10.31681/jetol.817680>
- Molodychenko, V., Tsybulko, O., Makarenko, L., Postol, O., & Lysak, I. (2021). Pedagogy of Spirituality as a Factor of Sustainable Development of Humanity. *Revista Romaneasca Pentru Educatie Multidimensionala*, 13(4), 454–468. <https://doi.org/10.18662/rrem/13.4/492>
- Moore, K. M. (1987). Women's access and opportunity in higher education: Toward the twenty-first century. *Comparative Education*, 23(1), 23–34. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3099443>
- Muhaimin, M. (2015). Implementasi model pembelajaran berbasis masalah lokal dalam mengembangkan kompetensi ekologis pada pembelajaran IPS. *SOSIO DIDAKTIKA: Social Science Education Journal*, 2(1), 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.15408/sd.v2i1.1409>
- Muhamad, M. M., Syed Abdullah, S. I. S., & Mohamad Arsad, N. (2021). The Theocentric Worldview: Sustainability Education for Religion and Spiritual. *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*, 9(2), 275. <https://doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v9i2.587>
- Murdoch, M. (2012). Environmental literacy of seventh-day adventist teachers in the parochial schools of the Florida Conference of seventh-day adventists. *Journal of Applied Christian Leadership*, 6(2), 69–87. <https://search.proquest.com/openview/a60ba80d9140d174f81148cfe862574d/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750>
- Musa, M. M., & Kamal, R. (2023). Ekstrakurikuler art painting dalam meningkatkan kreativitas siswa pada kompetensi pembelajaran abad 21 di sekolah dasar. *Madako Elementary School*, 2(1), 118-131. <https://doi.org/10.56630/mes.v1i2.59>
- Okubai, T., Fessehaye, S., Gebray, A. Y., & Kahsay, D. T. (2023). Final-semester nursing students' knowledge and attitude regarding pain management in resources limited setting. *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences*, 18, 100542. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijans.2023.100542>
- Olsson, D. (2018). *Student sustainability consciousness: Investigating effects of education for sustainable development in Sweden and beyond* [Faculty of Health, Science and Technology-Karlstad University Studies]. <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1257928/FULLTEXT02.pdf>
- Onovughe, S., & Mordi, J. F. (2017). Religious education a vital tool for sustainable development in Nigeria. *International Journal of Religious and Cultural Practice*, 3(1), 12–19. <https://www.iiardjournals.org/get/IJRCP/VOL.%203%20NO.%201%202017/Religious%20Education.pdf>
- Örs, M. (2022). A measurement of the environmental literacy of nursing students for a sustainable environment. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141711003>
- Ortan, F., Simut, C., & Simut, R. (2021). Self-efficacy, job satisfaction and teacher well-being in the K-12 educational system. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182312763>
- Pancaningrum, N., & Pasiningsih, P. (2023). Persepsi calon guru dan guru laki-laki sebagai minoritas gender di pendidikan anak usia dini. *Jurnal Obsesi : Jurnal Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini*, 7(2), 1320–1332. <https://doi.org/10.31004/obsesi.v7i2.3769>
- Parvazian, S., Gill, J., & Chiera, B. (2017). Higher education, women, and sociocultural change: A closer look at the statistics. *SAGE Open*, 7(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244017700230>
- Parwati, N. P. A., Redhana, I. W., & Suardana, I. N. (2021). Effect of gender on environmental literacy of high school students in Bali, Indonesia. *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Science, Technology, Engineering and Industrial Revolution (ICSTEIR 2020)*, 536(Icsteir 2020), 332–336. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210312.055>
- Paul Halpern, H., & Perry-Jenkins, M. (2016). Parents' gender ideology and gendered behavior as



- predictors of children's gender-role attitudes: A longitudinal exploration. *Sex Roles*, 74(11), 527–542. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-015-0539-0>
- Pe'er, S., Goldman, D., & Yavetz, B. (2007). Environmental literacy in teacher training: Attitudes, knowledge, and environmental behavior off beginning students. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 39(1), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JOEE.39.1.45-59>
- Petrenko, C., Paltseva, J., & Searle, S. (2016). *Ecological impacts of palm oil expansion in Indonesia I International Council on Clean Transportation* (Issue July). <http://www.theicct.org/ecological-impacts-of-palm-oil-expansion-indonesia>
- Putra, N. S., Sukma, H. N., & Setiawan, H. (2021). Level of environmental literacy of students and school community in green open space: Is there any difference between both of them? *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 10(4), 627–634. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v10i4.31083>
- Rachmatullah, A., Diana, S., & Rustaman, N. Y. (2016). Profile of middle school students on scientific literacy achievements by using scientific literacy assessments (SLA). *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1708(September 2017). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4941194>
- Rahardjanto, A., Husamah, H., Hadi, S., Lestari, N., & Fatmawati, D. (2022). The environmental attitude of the prospective biology teachers in Indonesia. *JPBI (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Indonesia)*, 8(3), 255–264. <https://ejournal.umm.ac.id/index.php/jpbi/article/view/22855>
- Ramachandran, K. M., & Tsokos, C. P. (2021). Chapter 1 - Descriptive statistics. In K. M. Ramachandran & C. P. B. T.-M. S. with A. in R. (Third E. Tsokos (Eds.), *Mathematical Statistics with Applications in R* (pp. 1–40). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817815-7.00001-4>
- Ramli, S., Rahman, K. A., Muspawi, M., Sobri, M., & Chen, D. (2022). Green Spirituality: The Effect of Spirituality Attitude for Students' Environment Care Character. *JPI (Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia)*, 11(2), 362–370. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jpiundiksha.v11i2.41750>
- Randahn, S., & Niedermeier, F. (2017). Quality assurance of teaching and learning in higher education institutions: Module 3. In *Training on Internal Quality Assurance Series*. <https://doi.org/10.17185/dupublico/43224>
- Rudolfsson, G., Berggren, I., & da Silva, A. B. (2014). Experiences of spirituality and spiritual values in the context of nursing - an integrative review. *The Open Nursing Journal*, 8, 64–70. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874434601408010064>
- Rugutt, J. K., & Chemosit, C. C. (2005). A study of factors that influence college academic achievement: A structural equation modeling approach. *Journal of Educational Research & Policy Studies*, 5-Spring(1), 66–90. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ846830.pdf>
- Sabon, S. S., Wirda, Y., Agung, I., Supriyadi, T., & Fujianita, S. (2018). *Kajian pemenuhan beban kerja guru*. Pusat Penelitian Kebijakan Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan, Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan, Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. <https://repositori.kemdikbud.go.id/16437/1/Pemenuhan%20beban%20kerja%20guru.pdf>
- Santoso, B. (2022). Licensing of Land of State as Soyness of Agricultural and Land Function at Semarang Regency. *Indonesian Journal of Environmental Law and Sustainable Development*, 1(1), 93–106. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijel.v1i1.56776>
- Saunders, L. (2008). Perspectives on accreditation and information literacy as reflected in the literature of library and information science. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 34(4), 305–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2008.05.003>
- Savci, S. (2012). An agricultural pollutant: Chemical fertilizer. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, 3(1), 73–80. <https://doi.org/10.7763/ijesd.2012.v3.191>
- Sawitri, D. R. (2016). Early childhood environmental education in tropical and coastal areas: A meta-analysis. *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 55, 012050. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/55/1/012050>
- Schueman, L. J. (2023). *Why women are key to solving the climate crisis*. One Earth. <https://www.oneearth.org/why-women-are-key-to-solving-the-climate-crisis/>
- Schübler, D., Richter, T., & Mantilla-Contreras, J. (2019). Educational approaches to encourage pro-environmental behaviors in Madagascar. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11113148>
- Shinbrot, X. A., Wilkins, K., Gretzel, U., & Bowser, G. (2019). Unlocking women's sustainability leadership potential: Perceptions of contributions and challenges for women in sustainable development. *World Development*, 119, 120–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.03.009>
- Siegel, A. F. (2012). Chapter 5 - Variability: Dealing with diversity. In A. F. B. T.-P. B. S. (Sixth E. Siegel (Ed.), *Practical Business Statistics* (pp. 95–121). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385208-3.00005-5>
- Silveira, L. G. F., & Munford, D. (2020). Science learning: An analysis of discursive interactions and different space-temporal dimensions in the classroom daily life. *Revista Brasileira de Educacao*, 25. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-24782020250015>
- Siregar, I. N. (2015). *Konsep pendidikan untuk pembangunan berkelanjutan dalam perspektif*

- pendidikan Islam*. UIN Sunan Kalijaga. <https://digilib.uin-suka.ac.id/id/eprint/19114/>
- Sousa, S., Correia, E., Leite, J., & Viseu, C. (2021). Environmental knowledge, attitudes and behavior of higher education students: a case study in Portugal. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 30(4), 348–365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10382046.2020.1838122>
- Steele, R., Darmapatri, I., Zandvliet, D., Matakupan, S., Wijayanto, H., Djulia, E., Asyar, R., Yusuf, M., & Kamil, D. (2015). Review implementasi pendidikan lingkungan di Provinsi Jambi. *Seminar Nasional XII Biologi, Sains, Lingkungan, Dan Pembelajarannya*, 40–60. <https://jurnal.uns.ac.id/prosbi/article/viewFile/6676/6022>
- Steg, L., & Vlek, C. A. J. (2009). Encouraging pro-environmental behaviour: An integrative review and research agenda. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 29(3), 309–317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.10.004>
- Sucahyo, N. (2023). *Lebih 600 ribu anak papua tak sekolah, apa yang salah*. VOA Indonesia. <https://www.voaindonesia.com/a/lebih-600-ribu-anak-papua-tak-sekolah-apa-yang-salah-7153107.html>
- Sudjoko, S. (2014). Perkembangan dan konsep dasar pendidikan lingkungan hidup. In S. Sudjoko, S. Mariyam, S. A. Wijaya, W. Setianingsih, & S. Hidayati (Eds.), *Pendidikan lingkungan hidup* (pp. 1–41). Universitas Terbuka. <http://repository.ut.ac.id/4264/2/PEBI4223-M1.pdf>
- Szczytko, R., Carrier, S. J., & Stevenson, K. T. (2018). Impacts of outdoor environmental education on teacher reports of attention, behavior, and learning outcomes for students with emotional, cognitive, and behavioral disabilities. *Frontiers in Education*, 3(June), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2018.00046>
- Szczytko, R., Stevenson, K., Peterson, M. N., Niefeld, J., & Strnad, R. L. (2019). Development and validation of the environmental literacy instrument for adolescents. *Environmental Education Research*, 25(2), 193–210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2018.1487035>
- Tacconi, L., Rodrigues, R. J., & Maryudi, A. (2019). Law enforcement and deforestation: Lessons for Indonesia from Brazil. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 108(September 2018), 101943. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2019.05.029>
- Tadese, M., Yeshaneh, A., & Mulu, G. B. (2022). Determinants of good academic performance among university students in Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Medical Education*, 22(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03461-0>
- Taşner, V., Mihelič, M. Ž., & Čeplak, M. M. (2017). Gender in the teaching profession: University students' views of teaching as a career. *Center for Educational Policy Studies Journal*, 7(2), 47–69. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85021056290&partnerID=40&md5=6afdaed7c23acf3db6169c88dccea9da>
- Tong, D. H., Uyen, B. P., & Ngan, L. K. (2022). The effectiveness of blended learning on students' academic achievement, self-study skills and learning attitudes: A quasi-experiment study in teaching the conventions for coordinates in the plane. *Heliyon*, 8(12), e12657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12657>
- Ulluwishewa, R. (2017). Spirituality: The Missing Basis of Development. In *Geography in Development: Issues and Perspectives* (Vol. 73, Issue February, p. 39). SSRN. [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=3131145](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3131145)
- Ulutas, A., & Köksalan, B. (2017). Investigation of environmental problem solving skills of preschool age children. *Research in Pedagogy*, 7(2), 298–311. <https://doi.org/10.17810/2015.66>
- Wardani, R. A. K., Karyanto, P., & Ramli, M. (2018). Analysis of high school students' environmental literacy. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1022(1), 012057. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1022/1/012057>
- WHO. (2018). *Air pollution and child health: Prescribing clean air*. Department of Public Health, Environment and Social Determinants, WHO. [https://www.who.int/ceh/publications/Advance-copy-Oct24\\_18150\\_Air-Pollution-and-Child-Health-merged-compressed.pdf?ua=1](https://www.who.int/ceh/publications/Advance-copy-Oct24_18150_Air-Pollution-and-Child-Health-merged-compressed.pdf?ua=1)
- Yu, Z. (2021). The effects of gender, educational level, and personality on online learning outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00252-3>
- Zhao, Z., Gong, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, L., & Sun, Y. (2021). Gender-related beliefs, norms, and the link with green consumption. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12(December), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.710239>
- Zulfa, M., Munawarah, H., & Rizqi, S. (2023). Upaya pengenalan budaya lokal batik untuk meningkatkan kreativitas siswa madrasah ibtidaiyah Pekalongan. *Madako Elementary School*, 2(1), 62–84. <https://doi.org/10.56630/mes.v2i1.165>

# Spirituality-based environmental literacy among prospective biology teacher in Indonesia: Analysis based on gender, accreditation, and semester-level aspects

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

15%  
SIMILARITY INDEX

13%  
INTERNET SOURCES

9%  
PUBLICATIONS

5%  
STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1 Submitted to Hellenic Open University  
Student Paper 1%

2 journal2.unusa.ac.id  
Internet Source <1%

3 www.mdpi.com  
Internet Source <1%

4 www.studypool.com  
Internet Source <1%

5 curve.carleton.ca  
Internet Source <1%

6 hephaestus.nup.ac.cy  
Internet Source <1%

7 Submitted to Australian National University  
Student Paper <1%

8 Submitted to Miami University of Ohio  
Student Paper <1%



9

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

10

Submitted to Academic Library Consortium

Student Paper

&lt;1 %

11

Submitted to Texas A &amp; M University, Kingville

Student Paper

&lt;1 %

12

Rofiatun Nisa', Nukh Khozain. "Development of Android-Based Indonesian Cultural Exploration Educational Game for Madrasah Ibtidaiyah Students", MUDARRISA: Jurnal Kajian Pendidikan Islam, 2023

Publication

&lt;1 %

13

[scindeks-clanci.ceon.rs](http://scindeks-clanci.ceon.rs)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

14

[www.frontiersin.org](http://www.frontiersin.org)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

15

[www.jazindia.com](http://www.jazindia.com)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

16

[www.jrespharm.com](http://www.jrespharm.com)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

17

[jurnal.untan.ac.id](http://jurnal.untan.ac.id)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

18

[libuwspaceprd02.uwaterloo.ca](http://libuwspaceprd02.uwaterloo.ca)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

[eprints.utar.edu.my](http://eprints.utar.edu.my)

19

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

20

prin.or.id

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

21

www.dtic.mil

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

22

Christopher G. Beehner. "Spirituality, Sustainability, and Success", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

23

Submitted to Universiti Malaysia Sabah

Student Paper

&lt;1 %

24

elifesciences.org

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

25

www.scilit.net

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

26

Hafiz Arshad, Khalid Saleem, Sajida Shafi, Tanvir Ahmad, Sumaira Kanwal.

"Environmental Awareness, Concern, Attitude and Behavior of University Students: A Comparison Across Academic Disciplines", Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 2020

Publication

&lt;1 %

27

VNUA

Publication

&lt;1 %

28	<a href="http://mdpi-res.com">mdpi-res.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
29	<a href="http://repository.ubn.ru.nl">repository.ubn.ru.nl</a> Internet Source	<1 %
30	<a href="http://research.tue.nl">research.tue.nl</a> Internet Source	<1 %
31	Submitted to CVC Nigeria Consortium Student Paper	<1 %
32	<a href="http://psasir.upm.edu.my">psasir.upm.edu.my</a> Internet Source	<1 %
33	<a href="http://research.vu.nl">research.vu.nl</a> Internet Source	<1 %
34	<a href="http://www.ejmste.com">www.ejmste.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
35	<a href="http://www.jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id">www.jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
36	Submitted to American College of Education Student Paper	<1 %
37	<a href="http://idr.uin-antasari.ac.id">idr.uin-antasari.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
38	<a href="http://ijlter.org">ijlter.org</a> Internet Source	<1 %
39	<a href="http://revista-agroproductividad.org">revista-agroproductividad.org</a> Internet Source	<1 %



40	Jefri Marzal, Suwannit Chareen Chit, Edi Elisa, Pradita Eko Prasetyo Utomo, Dwi Agus Kurniawan, Rizka Octavia Sandra. "Lecturer Gender Perspective With Online Thesis Guidance Case Study Elista in Jambi University", Indonesian Journal on Learning and Advanced Education (IJOLAE), 2022 Publication	<1 %
----	--	------

---

41	<a href="http://digitallibrary.un.org">digitallibrary.un.org</a> Internet Source	<1 %
----	---	------

---

42	<a href="http://msocialsciences.com">msocialsciences.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
----	---	------

---

43	<a href="http://revistas.um.es">revistas.um.es</a> Internet Source	<1 %
----	---	------

---

44	<a href="http://www.duvaryayinlari.com">www.duvaryayinlari.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
----	---	------

---

45	<a href="http://www.econstor.eu">www.econstor.eu</a> Internet Source	<1 %
----	---	------

---

46	Jameela Hanoon Umarlebbe, Seriaznita Said, Sarimah Shamsudin. "Exploring Morpho-syntactic Errors in English Compositions by University ESL Learners", Research Square Platform LLC, 2024 Publication	<1 %
----	---	------

---

47	<a href="http://journals.ashs.org">journals.ashs.org</a> Internet Source	<1 %
----	---	------

---

48

[www.nature.com](http://www.nature.com)

Internet Source

<1 %

49

Ali Albalhareth, Ali Alasmari. "Metacognitive strategies implemented with d/Dhh students in upper elementary schools in Saudi Arabia", *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 2022

Publication

<1 %

50

Bela Yavetz, Daphne Goldman, Sara Pe'er. "Environmental literacy of pre-service teachers in Israel: a comparison between students at the onset and end of their studies", *Environmental Education Research*, 2009

Publication

<1 %

51

Lung-Sheng Lee, Liang-Te Chang, Chih-Chien Lai, Yunn-Horng Guu, Kuen-Yi Lin. "Energy literacy of vocational students in Taiwan", *Environmental Education Research*, 2015

Publication

<1 %

52

Nuril Hidayati, Farizha Irmawati. "Developing of science environment technology and society based on multimedia in human anatomy physiology learning", *JPBIO (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi)*, 2020

Publication

<1 %

53

[adoc.pub](http://adoc.pub)

Internet Source

<1 %

54	<a href="https://core.ac.uk">core.ac.uk</a> Internet Source	<1 %
55	<a href="https://hrmars.com">hrmars.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
56	<a href="https://journal.unpad.ac.id">journal.unpad.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
57	<a href="https://jptk.ppj.unp.ac.id">jptk.ppj.unp.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
58	<a href="https://jurnal.sainsglobal.com">jurnal.sainsglobal.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
59	<a href="https://lnu.diva-portal.org">lnu.diva-portal.org</a> Internet Source	<1 %
60	<a href="https://ssbfnet.com">ssbfnet.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
61	<a href="https://uav.ro">uav.ro</a> Internet Source	<1 %
62	<a href="https://vdocuments.pub">vdocuments.pub</a> Internet Source	<1 %
63	Nilasari Siagian, Ridayani, Andrias, Kamsinah, Endang Maryanti, Endang Fatmawati, Susatyo Adi Pramono, Iwan Fajri. "The effect of environmental citizenship and spiritual norms as mediators on students' environmental behaviour", International Journal of Adolescence and Youth, 2023	<1 %



Publication

---

Exclude quotes      On

Exclude matches      Off

Exclude bibliography      On