International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)

CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE

The manuscript (IJERE- 26940) entitled:

Developing a growth mindset in education: A bibliometric analysis and its challenge during pandemic

Authored by:

Sugiarti Sugiarti, Arti Prihartini, Atika Permata Sari, Gamal Kusuma Zamahsari

The manuscript has been accepted in IJERE (ISSN 2252-8822)

https://ijere.iaescore.com

October 20, 2023

Prof. Dr. Yeo Kee Jiar
Editor-in-Chief

Developing A Growth Mindset in Education:
A Bibliometric Analysis and Its Challenge During Pandemic

Sugiarti[1], Arti Prihatini[2], Atika Permata Sari[3], Gamal Kusuma Zamahsari[4]
[1,2] Indonesian Language Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Malang, Indonesia
[3] Psychology, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Malang, Indonesia
[4] Digital Language Learning Center, Communication Science, Universitas Bina Nusantara, Jakarta, Indonesia

Article Info	ABSTRACT
	The growth mindset is essential for learning because students encounter numerous obstacles during the pandemic. However, only a few studies have examined research trends in growth mindset pedagogy. In filling this gap, this study aims to conduct a bibliometric study using the growth mindset pedagogy during the pandemic. Data from 81 Scopus-sourced scholarly articles on growth mindset in education from 2020–2022 was used. Vosviewer qualitatively analysed the data. Results showed that the research cluster's growth mindset is diverse and adaptive to learning dynamics, notably during the Covid-19 pandemic. Several research clusters examined instructors, students, and parents' roles in learning. Another cluster focuses on academic achievement and teacher efforts to improve student performance. Research also addresses student issues like depression, academic fatigue, and suicide ideation. Research on a growth mindset in education has grown quantitatively since 2021. Clusters 1, 2, and 4, 5 have the most research on active learning design to improve student academic performance and accomplishment through teachers and other supporting elements. Even though academic fatigue can lead to depression and suicidal ideation, research on academic achievement-related student issues is scarce. In conclusion, a growth mindset can significantly contribute to enhancing academic performance and solving learning problems.

Corresponding Author:

Sugiarti,
Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Jalan Raya Tlogomas No. 246, Lowokaru, Malang, Indonesia.
Email: sugiarti@umm.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

The global education system faces new challenges due to the spread of the Covid-19 virus. Teachers and students must adjust to new regulations and practises. Students had several challenges throughout the pandemic, causing stress [1], increased anxiety and distress [2], loss of interest in educational activities, decreased students involvement in the educational process [3], diminished quality of student interaction with peers [4], and reduced motivation [5], [6]. Many students also complained of difficulties concentrating during online learning, and busy assignments [7], [8].

Students can either grow from their obstacles or give in to them. Identifying and solving those obstacles requires a mindset [9], [10]. Mindset varies from fixed to growth mindsets [9]. A growth mindset is a belief that one's qualities can change with others' efforts, strategies, or assistance. However, fixed mindset individuals accept that the self quality is given because they view their intelligence as unchanging and unmodifiable, so any work they do is predetermined [9], [15]**.** Thus, a growth mindset should benefit pupils experiencing challenges [11]. Previous research found the significance of the growth mindset in fostering college students' learning engagement [12] and academic success during Covid-19 [13], [14].

Growth mindset persons are more likely to persevere and progress when faced with challenges. Fixed-mindset people avoid challenges, fail to maximise their potential [16], and give up easily [9]. Fixed mindset

people often feel helpless and blame their academic failure. However, students with a growth mindset view failure as a challenge to learn, improve their quality, and reach higher achievement [9].

The topic of growth mindset pedagogy has received much attention from previous researchers. Therefore, research trend mapping is carried out to determine the research dynamics on this topic. In general, researchers use various methods: a systematic literature review [15], [18], scoping literature review [19], a meta-analysis [20], and bibliometrics [21]. These studies examine the growth mindset based on its relationship with mathematics education [21], psychological distress [20], and human resource development [19].

The previous study was applied to the elementary school level [15], [18]. The study results show that the growth mindset can increase student achievement and involvement in learning [15], [21] and enhance mental health [20]. Thus, growth mindset pedagogy improves academic performance and mental stability.

Despite limited research, the growth mindset improves learning and academic achievement. The growth mindset pedagogy study was small-scale and integrated with particular interventions [18]. Finding a central study theme to uncover systematic development patterns becomes difficult as the area evolves. Research trends reveal topic overviews, knowledge gaps, novel ideas, and future research prospects [22], [23].

Furthermore, based on a new viewpoint on the importance of particular articles, authors, concepts, and writings related to these essential studies [24], [25], bibliometric research may offer academics the necessary framework for establishing the significance of their contributions to the topic [22]. Thus, scientific progress from global to author levels could be assessed by the bibliometric analysis [26] to understand the issue better and recognize teaching and learning research variables. Based on this background, this study fills the gap by examining three research focuses on growth mindset pedagogy: (1) the research cluster, (2) the topic changes annually (3) the research direction based on research density. This study maps growth mindset research patterns in education during a pandemic to identify more research opportunities.

2. METHOD

2.1 Research Design

The research method is bibliometric analysis, conducted in quantitative [24], [27], and qualitative research [22]. Bibliometric analysis is a popular and rigorous method of obtaining, researching, and interpreting quantifiable data using published research articles' mathematical and statistical analysis to assess publication information and untied the evolutionary complexities of the subject field [22], [28]. Bibliometry quantifies, evaluates publications, and assesses qualitative research impact using peer review [22], [28].

2.2 Selection Criteria for the Articles

Scopus bibliographical data was retrieved via article search. Based on relevant theory, this study searched for 'Growth Mindset in Education' and 'Growth Mindset in Learning' during 2019–2022. The search terms used to retrieve relevant sources from the Scopus database are detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Search string

| Search Strings |
| --- |
| *TITLE-ABS KEY (growth AND mindset AND in AND education) AND (LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2022) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2021) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2020) OR LIMIT-TO (PUBYEAR , 2019) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE , "ar") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE , "cp") OR LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE , "re")) AND (LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "SOCI") OR LIMIT-TO (SUBJAREA , "ARTS")) AND (LIMIT-TO (EXACTKEYWORD , "Growth mindset in education") OR LIMIT-TO (EXACTKEYWORD , "Growth mindset in learning")) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE , "English")) AND (LIMIT-TO (SRCTYPE , "j") OR LIMIT-TO (SRCTYPE , "p"))* |

Figure 1. Process of publication selection

Figure 1 shows the search query-driven methods for selecting articles. We retrieved 935 growth mindset in education and learning articles from the Scopus database. After filtering, 81 papers were left for review. Those papers fulfill the study's requirements.

2.3   Data Analysis

Furthermore, data analysis was conducted in phases, namely (1) identifying research trends based on research titles and abstracts, (2) determining research trends based on the co-occurrences of the research topics, and (3) analyzing the network to identify research topic clusters based on network visualization, (4) analyzing research trends last five years based on overlay visualization, and (5) analyzing research density about second language learning based on density visualization. This study also used three indicators to analyse data: quantity indicators, which measure research output; quality indicators, which measure research performance; and structure indicators, which measure publication-study topic links [28]–[30].

3.   RESULTS

3.1 Research Cluster on Growth Mindset in Education

According to the study findings, numerous clusters are related to a growth mindset in education. Figure 2 shows nine research clusters on growth mindset pedagogy based on 81 research article keywords.


Figure 2. Process of publication selection

Cluster 1 (red) discusses an active learning program that uses technology, STEM concepts, and gender analysis to achieve learning objectives, student performance, and achievement. Cluster 2 (green) studies teachers' growth mindset pedagogy through learning strategies and classroom management depending on learning difficulties and demands, notably during the COVID-19 epidemic. Cluster 3 (dark blue) features papers on how teachers can help students succeed through meaningful and pleasant learning. Cluster 3 studies how teachers assist students in overcoming learning issues that lead to depression and suicide. Secondary school, notably in Korea, is this cluster's concentration.

Cluster 4 (yellow) examines how difficulty, persistence, commitment to goals, and parental support affect learning performance. On the other hand, research trends also investigate the negative aspects of achievement orientation, which can cause depressive symptoms. Cluster 5 (purple) highlights self-efficacy, participation, and learning requirements, especially at the university level, as characteristics that affect student competency. Cluster 6 (bright blue) studies educator-created learning settings in start-up-based learning frameworks, especially in engineering. Cluster 7 (orange) discusses education issues such as authoritarian parenting and fraud, notably in China. Cluster 8 (brown) addresses two learning attainment demands that affect student academic achievement and fatigue. Cluster 9, marked pink, discusses student motivation and reward.

The growth mindset in education research examines the roles of teachers, students, and parents. For student achievement, teachers promote active, pleasant, and meaningful learning. Academic success requires enthusiasm, commitment, and persistence. Thus, motivating students for their learning attempts is crucial. Nonetheless, focusing on academic achievement can lead to depression, academic burnout, and even suicide among students. Authoritarian parenting also causes learning issues. Therefore, it can be concluded that a growth mindset can substantially contribute to improving learning outcomes and resolving learning problems.

It is crucial to present the research impact based on the most cited articles.

Table 2. Most cited papers in a growth mindset in education

No	Title	Cluster	Source	TC	C/Y
1	Building grit: The longitudinal pathways between mindset, commitment, grit, and academic outcomes	4	[31]	75	25
2	In search of a growth mindset pedagogy: A case study of one teacher's classroom practices in a Finnish elementary school	1	[32]	38	12,6
3	Growing a growth mindset: characterizing how and why undergraduate students' mindsets change	5	[33]	27	13,5
4	The conundrum of low achievement and feedback for learning	5	[34]	24	12,0
5	CS1: how will they do? How can we help? A decade of research and practice	1	[35]	23	7,6
6	Can test anxiety interventions alleviate a gender gap in an undergraduate STEM course?	1	[36]	21	7,0
7	Growing STEM: Perceived faculty mindset as an indicator of communal affordances in STEM	1	[37]	16	5,3
8	Enhancing children's math motivation with a joint intervention on mindset and gender stereotypes	1	[38]	10	10,0
9	Growth mindset and its predictive validity—do migration background and academic validation matter?	5	[39]	9	3,0
10	Inclusion of students with learning, emotional, and behavioral disabilities through strength-based approaches	3	[40]	9	3,0
11	Promoting a growth mindset in CS1: Does one size fit all? A pilot study	1	[41]	9	4,5
12	What are the potential predictors of psychological capital for Chinese primary school teachers?	7	[42]	8	2,6
13	Testing the association of growth mindset and grades across a challenging transition: Is growth mindset associated with grades?	4	[43]	8	4,0
14	Measuring mastery behaviours at scale: The persistence, effort, resilience, and challenge-seeking (PERC) task	5	[44]	8	4,0
15	Which boys and which girls are falling behind? Linking adolescents' gender role profiles to motivation, engagement, and achievement	9	[45]	8	8,0

Table 2 illustrates that growth mindset research focuses on psychological factors, gender disparities, and classroom practises. This study found a link between growth mindset teaching, student psychological characteristics, and gender disparities. In addition, some research focuses on academic achievement and STEM.

3.2 Research Overlay on Growth Mindset in Education
        Figure 3 shows research overlay results.

Figure 3. Research overlay on growth mindset in education

Figure 3 shows that from 2019 to mid-2020, research trends centered on learning success, academic achievement, student outcomes, STEM, and gender differences. Until early 2021, trends include vibrant, fun, and meaningful learning designs with motivation and the involvement of teacher and parents in achieving student academic success. In mid-2021, researchers study academic fatigue, depression, and suicidal thoughts. Classroom management, work engagement, conceptual comprehension, effort praise, transformational leadership, depression, and authoritative parenting style become research subjects 2022.

3.3 Research Density on Growth Mindset in Education
        Quantitatively, publication data is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Number of annual publications in a growth mindset in education

Period	Total publication
2019	14
2020	16
2021	30
2022	21
Total	81

Table 3 reveals that growth mindset education research did not improve significantly between 2019 and 2020. However, publishing numbers will rise significantly in 2021 and maybe through 2022. The number of publications determines research density as follows.

Figure 4. Item density on growth mindset in education

Figure 4 shows that the most frequently researched topic related to a growth mindset is the role of teachers and growth mindset practices in learning. A growth mindset is also often researched as a factor influencing students' development and achievement. From a multidisciplinary perspective, a growth mindset is often associated with psychological constructs, such as grit, engagement, flow, and motivation.

Figure 5. Cluster density on growth mindset in education

Figure 5 shows that the most discussed research topics are research in clusters 1, 2, and 4, 5, which focus on active learning designs to achieve success and student academic achievement through the role of the teacher and other supporting factors. These findings indicate that research trends still focus on learning designs oriented toward student academic achievement.

4.   DISCUSSION

The findings reveal that a growth mindset contributed to student engagement in learning during the Covid-19 pandemic [12]. Previous research also mentioned that the impact of the pandemic on learning was a topic of concern for researchers. Online and blended learning during and after the pandemic is the reason [17].

Growth mindset pedagogy is an interdisciplinary study that revitalizes psychology and education. Researchers discovered that the brain is malleable and that intelligence can be cultivated to enhance educational outcomes by manipulating mindset [15]. Most growth mindset education research analyzes its impacts. However, it is still rare to find research that organizes the themes or topics on growth mindset pedagogy. In addition to academic achievement, the longitudinal trend reveals a movement in research from a mindset to an implicit notion of a growth mindset. Finally, this study gives an overview of the conceptual framework that can assist scholars and practitioners in studying the growth mindset in future research [21].

The results reveal that growth mindset pedagogy research often discusses students' psychological factors, such as changes in students' mindsets [33], motivation [38], commitment, grit [31], emotional and behavioural disabilities [40], psychological capital [42], persistence, effort, resilience, and challenges seeking [44]. Meanwhile, the majority of research on growth mindset factors focuses on academic achievement and engagement [15], [33], [61]–[63], career self-esteem, distress-related outcomes, entrepreneurial skills [20],

self-regulated learning strategies [64], anxiety in certain subjects [65], academic stress, and loneliness [13]. Self-efficacy in specific topics was also reciprocal with students' growth mindset [54], [65]. The results indicate that the growth mindset is one of psychology's most studied themes and is strongly tied to student psychology. In addition, research on growth mindset pedagogy also links gender gaps [36], gender roles [45], and gender stereotypes [38]. The results show that gender is an intriguing demographic element. Gender roles and stereotypes influenced students' growth mindset.

Growth mindset pedagogy research also focuses on its application in learning academic outcomes, classroom practice [32], achievement [45], feedback [34], grades [43], outcomes [31], and grades [43]. This study found that many teaching methods promote a growth mindset. At this point, teachers can use scientifically proven methods to change students' mindset. Using a growth mindset, teachers may utilize students' diverse perspectives to encourage creativity and innovation [46]. These findings support earlier studies indicating that applied research has dominated in the last decade across fields [17].

The mapping shows that teacher role, influencing factors, and grit are the most studied growth mindset pedagogy. Teacher growth mindset influences student growth mindsets [47]–[51]. Several studies show how teachers may foster a growth mindset culture and challenge students' self-perception. Most new research applies the growth mindset in small groups or individually. However, the growth mindset is still not widely used in school curriculums.

The present curriculum requires teachers to have pedagogical competency [52]. Teachers must understand and implement curriculum changes. In this way, teachers can facilitate learning that promotes student competence [53]. Previous research found that teacher interventions were less significant than student interventions [10] because of substantial and technical obstacles, which teachers can overcome by continuing to investigate knowledge and gaining experience through practice [52].

A growth mindset study has also explored its effects on education. Internal variables from the individual and external factors from the environment influence the growth mindset. Self-efficacy in particular topics [54] and motivation have been researched as individual growth mindset elements. On the other side, extrinsic factors include teacher beliefs, parent mindsets, classroom instruction, socioeconomic status, school environment [15], [55]–[58], and parenting style [59]. Those internal and external factors optimize a growth mindset in learning. Students can develop motivation and self-efficacy if teachers, parents, and the school environment are supportive. The earlier study also emphasizes parental involvement in students' activities and parenting styles [60].

The growth mindset's antecedents, mainly internal processes, are less studied than its effects. Future studies can examine internal processes affecting student growth mindsets and create specific programs about it. Additionally, growth mindset research still emphasizes academic achievement because teachers manage subjects to help students succeed [17]. However, academic achievement-related student difficulties are rarely studied. Moreover, little research has been conducted on how the growth mindset affects noncognitive aspects like welfare, resilience, student self-esteem and cognitive factors like problem-solving and decision-making. This is an important topic because academic fatigue, which can lead to depression and suicidal ideation, is common among college students.

Grit, growth mindset, and other constructs are frequently investigated [14], [66], [67]. Growth mindset people are more hopeful and persistent because they have self-transcendent motivation for learning [68], [69]. A growth mindset was also linked to grit [14]. Thus, grit changes growth mindset and vice versa. Most research has examined the reciprocal relationship between a growth mindset and grit in usually developing learners. However, inclusion and special education research are few. Grit and growth mindset research is intriguing since special needs kids have unique dynamics.

5. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings, it can be concluded that there has been a significant amount of research on the growth mindset. Active learning design has been thoroughly investigated to accomplish success and student academic achievement through the teacher's role, growth mindset components, and growth mindset and grit. Furthermore, numerous growth mindset topics can be investigated and expanded. Some involve incorporating the growth mindset into the curriculum, internal factors that influence the growth mindset, and implementing the growth mindset in inclusive education.

This research shows that the learning and student internal processes, notably the growth mindset, must be considered. Excellent education should improve student well-being and self-actualization. It requires cooperation from instructors, parents, students, and the government to enhance health, well-being, and education based on the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). It is also suggested that the governor create a specific curriculum for enhancing mental health and well-being. The curriculum can be taught in related

courses or separately. The mental health and well-being curriculum helps students develop growth mindset, resilience, coping abilities, and soft skills.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

[1] B. N. Parahita, D. Astutik, G. Ghufronudin, and Y. Yuhastina, "Learning loss experience and control motive by Zillennial generation in Indonesia," *Int. J. Eval. Res. Educ.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 346–356, 2023, doi: 10.11591/ijere.v12i1.23824.

[2] W. Cao *et al.*, "The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China," *Psychiatry Res.*, vol. 287, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112934.

[3] E. V. Frolova, T. M. Ryabova, and O. V. Rogach, "Digital technologies in education: Problems and prospects for 'Moscow electronic school' project implementation," *Eur. J. Contemp. Educ.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 779–789, 2019, doi: 10.13187/ejced.2019.4.779.

[4] I. G. Vania, W. Yudiana, and H. Susanto, "Does online-formed peer relationship affect academic motivation during online learning?," *J. Educ. Heal. Community Psychol.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 72, 2022, doi: 10.12928/jehcp.v11i1.21970.

[5] C. J. Fong, "Academic motivation in a pandemic context: A conceptual review of prominent theories and an integrative model," *Carlt. J. Fong*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 1204–1222, 2022, doi: 10.1080/01443410.2022.2026891.

[6] N. Aznam, R. Perdana, J. Jumadi, H. Nurcahyo, and Y. Wiyatmo, "Motivation and satisfaction in online learning during COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review," *Int. J. Eval. Res. Educ.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 753–762, 2022, doi: 10.11591/ijere.v11i2.21961.

[7] R. Nastiti and N. Hayati, "Pembelajaran daring pada pendidikan tinggi: tantangan bagi mahasiswa dan dosen di tengah pandemi," *INOBIS J. Inov. Bisnis Dan Manaj. Indones.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 378–390, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.31842/jurnalinobis.v3i3.145.

[8] A. P. Aguilera-Hermida, "College students' use and acceptance of emergency online learning due to COVID-19," *Int. J. Educ. Res. Open*, vol. 1, p. 100011, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ijedro.2020.100011.

[9] M. A. Sahagun, R. Moser, J. Shomaker, and J. Fortier, "Developing a growth-mindset pedagogy for higher education and testing its efficacy," *Soc. Sci. Humanit. Open*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2021.100168.

[10] D. S. Yeager and C. S. Dweck, "What can be learned from growth mindset controversies?," *Am. Psychol.*, vol. 75, no. 9, pp. 1–44, 2020, doi: 10.1037/amp0000794.

[11] J. L. Burnette, E. H. O'Boyle, E. M. VanEpps, J. M. Pollack, and E. J. Finkel, "Mind-sets matter: A meta-analytic review of implicit theories and self-regulation," *Psychol. Bull.*, vol. 139, no. 3, pp. 655–701, 2013, doi: 10.1037/a0029531.

[12] H. Zhao, J. Xiong, Z. Zhang, and C. Qi, "Growth mindset and college students' learning engagement during the COVID-19 pandemic: A serial mediation model," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2021, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.621094.

[13] M. Mosanya, "Buffering academic stress during the COVID-19 pandemic related social isolation: Grit and growth mindset as protective factors against the impact of loneliness," *Int. J. Appl. Posit. Psychol.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 159–174, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s41042-020-00043-7.

[14] D. Park, E. Tsukayama, A. Yu, and A. L. Duckworth, "The development of grit and growth mindset during adolescence," *J. Exp. Child Psychol.*, vol. 198, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2020.104889.

[15] M. Spenner, "Growth mindset: Trend or real science?," *J. Initial Teach. Inq.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 53–56, 2017, doi: 10.26021/815.

[16] C. S. Dweck and D. S. Yeager, "Mindsets: A view from two eras," *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 481–496, 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691618804166.

[17] A. Prihatini, "Perkembangan penelitian pembelajaran bahasa Indonesia sebagai bahasa kedua: Analisis bibliometrik," *KEMBARA J. Keilmuan Bahasa, Sastra, dan Pengajarannya*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 56–75, 2023, doi: 10.22219/kembara.v9i1.23216.

[18] H. Savvides and C. Bond, "How does growth mindset inform interventions in primary schools? A systematic literature review," *Educ. Psychol. Pract.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 134–149, 2021, doi: 10.1080/02667363.2021.1879025.

[19] S. J. Han and V. Stieha, "Growth mindset for human resource development: A scoping review of the literature with recommended interventions," *Hum. Resour. Dev. Rev.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 309–331, 2020, doi: 10.1177/1534484320939739.

[20] J. L. Burnette, L. E. Knouse, D. T. Vavra, E. O'Boyle, and M. A. Brooks, "Growth mindsets and psychological distress: A meta-analysis," *Clin. Psychol. Rev.*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101816.

[21] X. Xu, Q. Zhang, J. Sun, and Y. Wei, "A bibliometric review on latent topics and research trends in the growth mindset literature for mathematics education," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1039761.

[22] N. Donthu, S. Kumar, D. Mukherjee, N. Pandey, and W. M. Lim, "How to conduct a bibliometric analysis: An overview and guidelines," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 133, no. 1, pp. 285–296, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.04.070.

[23] L. Plonsky, "Study quality in quantitative l2 research (1990-2010): A methodological synthesis and call for reform," *Mod. Lang. J.*, vol. 98, no. 1, pp. 450–470, 2014, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-4781.2014.12058.x.

[24] L. Lei and D. Liu, "Research trends in applied linguistics from 2005 to 2016: A bibliometric analysis and its implications," *Appl. Linguist.*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 540–561, 2018, doi: 10.1093/applin/amy003.

[25] D. R. Radev, M. T. Joseph, B. Gibson, and P. Muthukrishnan, "A Bibliometric and network analysis of the field of computational linguistics," *J. Assoc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 683–706, 2015, doi: 10.1002/asi.

[26] A. Syahid and A. Qodir, "Journal of language and linguistic studies: A fifteen-year bibliometric quest for a bigger impact," *J. Lang. Linguist. Stud.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 290–314, 2021, doi: 10.17263/jlls.903415.

[27] X. Zhang, "A bibliometric analysis of second language acquisition between 1997 and 2018," *Stud. Second Lang. Acquis.*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 1–24, 2019, doi: 10.1017/S0272263119000573.

[28] A. Agarwal *et al.*, "Bibliometrics: Tracking research impact by selecting the appropriate metrics," *Asian J. Androl.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 296–309, 2016, doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.171582.

[29] A. H. Al-Hoorie and J. P. Vitta, "The seven sins of L2 research: A review of 30 journals' statistical quality and their CiteScore, SJR, SNIP, JCR Impact Factors," *Lang. Teach. Res.*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 727–744, 2019, doi: 10.1177/1362168818767191.

[30] S. Arnott, M. Masson, and S. Lapkin, "Exploring trends in 21st century Canadian K-12 French as second language research: A research synthesis," *Can. J. Appl. Linguist.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 60–83, 2019, doi: 10.7202/1060906ar.

[31] X. Tang, M.-T. Wang, J. Guo, and K. Salmela-Aro, "Building grit: The longitudinal pathways between mindset, commitment, grit,

and academic outcomes," *J. Youth Adolesc.*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 850–863, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10964-019-00998-0.

[32] I. Rissanen, E. Kuusisto, M. Tuominen, and K. Tirri, "In search of a growth mindset pedagogy: A case study of one teacher's classroom practices in a Finnish elementary school," *Teach. Teach. Educ.*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 204–213, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2018.10.002.

[33] L. B. Limeri *et al.*, "Growing a growth mindset: characterizing how and why undergraduate students' mindsets change," *Int. J. STEM Educ.*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1186/s40594-020-00227-2.

[34] E. Pitt, M. Bearman, and R. Esterhazy, "The conundrum of low achievement and feedback for learning," *Assess. Eval. High. Educ.*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 239–250, 2020, doi: 10.1080/02602938.2019.1630363.

[35] K. Quille and S. Bergin, "CS1: how will they do? How can we help? A decade of research and practice," *Comput. Sci. Educ.*, vol. 29, no. 2–3, pp. 254–282, 2019, doi: 10.1080/08993408.2019.1612679.

[36] R. B. Harris, D. Z. Grunspan, M. A. Pelch, G. Fernandes, G. Ramirez, and S. Freeman, "Can test anxiety interventions alleviate a gender gap in an undergraduate STEM course?," *CBE Life Sci. Educ.*, vol. 18, no. 3, 2019, doi: 10.1187/cbe.18-05-0083.

[37] M. A. Fuesting, A. B. Diekman, K. L. Boucher, M. C. Murphy, D. L. Manson, and B. L. Safer, "Growing STEM: Perceived faculty mindset as an indicator of communal affordances in STEM," *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.*, vol. 117, no. 2, pp. 260–281, 2019, doi: 10.1037/pspa0000154.

[38] J. Lee, H. J. Lee, J. Song, and M. Bong, "Enhancing children's math motivation with a joint intervention on mindset and gender stereotypes," *Learn. Instr.*, vol. 73, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.learninstruc.2020.101416.

[39] D. Corradi, J. Nicolaï, and F. Levrau, "Growth mindset and its predictive validity—do migration background and academic validation matter?," *High. Educ.*, vol. 77, no. 3, pp. 491–504, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10734-018-0286-6.

[40] J. D. Garwood and A. A. Ampuja, "Inclusion of students with learning, emotional, and behavioral disabilities through strength-based approaches," *Interv. Sch. Clin.*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 46–51, 2019, doi: 10.1177/1053451218767918.

[41] K. Quille and S. Bergin, "Promoting a growth mindset in CS1: Does one size fit all? A pilot study," in *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, 2020, pp. 12–18, doi: 10.1145/3341525.3387361.

[42] X. Chen, G. Zeng, E. C. Chang, and H. Y. Cheung, "What are the potential predictors of psychological capital for Chinese primary school teachers?," *Front. Educ.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2019, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2019.00050.

[43] Y. Li and T. C. Bates, "Testing the association of growth mindset and grades across a challenging transition: Is growth mindset associated with grades?," *Intelligence*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.intell.2020.101471.

[44] T. Porter *et al.*, "Measuring mastery behaviours at scale: The persistence, effort, resilience, and challengeseeking (PERC) task," *J. Learn. Anal.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 5–18, 2020, doi: 10.18608/jla.2020.71.2.

[45] J. Yu, R. McLellan, and L. Winter, "Which boys and which girls are falling behind? Linking adolescents' gender role profiles to motivation, engagement, and achievement," *J. Youth Adolesc.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 336–352, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10964-020-01293-z.

[46] S. C. Noh and A. M. A. Karim, "Design thinking mindset to enhance education 4.0 competitiveness in Malaysia," *Int. J. Eval. Res. Educ.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 494–501, 2021, doi: 10.11591/ijere.v10i2.20988.

[47] A. Campbell, T. Craig, B. Collier-reed, A. Campbell, and T. Craig, "A framework for using learning theories to inform ' growth mindset ' activities mindset ' activities," *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 26–43, 2020, doi: 10.1080/0020739X.2018.1562118.

[48] R. M. D. Mesler, C. M. Corbin, and B. H. Martin, "Teacher mindset is associated with development of students' growth mindset," *J. Appl. Dev. Psychol.*, vol. 76, no. 1, p. 101299, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.appdev.2021.101299.

[49] S. K. Patrick and E. Joshi, "' Set in stone ' or ' willing to grow ' ? Teacher sensemaking during a growth mindset initiative," *Teach. Teach. Educ. J.*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 156–167, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2019.04.009.

[50] R. Ronkainen, E. Kuusisto, and K. Tirri, "Growth mindset in teaching: A case study of a Finnish elementary school teacher," *Int. J. Learn. Teach. Educ. Res.*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 141–154, 2019, doi: 10.26803/ijlter.18.8.9.

[51] F. S. Seaton, "Empowering teachers to implement a growth mindset," *Educ. Psychol. Pract.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 41–57, 2018, doi: 10.1080/02667363.2017.1382333.

[52] A. Prihatini and Sugiarti, "Citra kurikulum baru: Kesiapan guru dalam menerapkan Kurikulum Merdeka," *GHANCARAN J. Pendidik. Bhs. dan Sastra Indones.*, vol. Edisi Spes, pp. 58–70, 2022, doi: 10.19105/ghancaran.vi.7447.

[53] A. Prihatini, S. Sugiarti, T. A. B. Ambarsari, and I. N. Nisa, "Kompetensi pedagogik guru SMA dalam menerapkan pembelajaran multiliterasi sebagai wujud Merdeka Belajar," *Edukatif J. Ilmu Pendidik.*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 6823–6831, 2022, doi: 10.31004/edukatif.v4i5.3020.

[54] X. Huang, J. Zhang, and L. Hudson, "Impact of math self-efficacy , math anxiety , and growth mindset on math and science career interest for middle school students : the gender moderating effect," *Eur. J. Psychol. Educ.*, vol. 34, pp. 621–640, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10212-018-0403-z.

[55] A. B. I. Bernardo, "Socioeconomic status moderates the relationship between growth mindset and learning in mathematics and science: Evidence from PISA 2018 Philippine data," *Int. J. Sch. Educ. Psychol.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 208–222, 2021, doi: 10.1080/21683603.2020.1832635.

[56] J. M. Murray, S. Komissarov, S. L. Cook, and B. L. Murray, "Predictors for growth mindset and sense of belonging in college students," *J. Pedagog. Sociol. Psychol.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 66–85, 2022, doi: 10.33902/jpsp.202213791.

[57] D. S. Yeager *et al.*, "Teacher mindsets help explain where a growth-mindset intervention does and doesn't work," *Psychol. Sci.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 18–32, 2021, doi: 10.1177/09567976211028984.

[58] J. Yu, P. Kreijkes, and K. Salmela-Aro, "Students' growth mindset: Relation to teacher beliefs, teaching practices, and school climate," *Learn. Instr.*, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 1–11, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.learninstruc.2022.101616.

[59] T. Nie, Q. Yan, and Y. Chen, "Authoritative parenting style and proactive behaviors: Evidence from China?," *Sustain.*, vol. 14, no. 6, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su14063435.

[60] H. B. Utomo, V. Iswantiningtyas, and D. Yulianto, "Be strong or weak: The contribution of parenting style toward parent involvement motivation in accompanying children during learning from home," *J. Educ. Heal. Community Psychol.*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 686, 2021, doi: 10.12928/jehcp.v10i4.22280.

[61] R. B. King and J. E. Trinidad, "Growth mindset predicts achievement only among rich students: examining the interplay between mindset and socioeconomic status," *Soc. Psychol. Educ.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 635–652, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s11218-021-09616-z.

[62] D. I. Miller, "When do growth mindset interventions work?," *Trends Cogn. Sci.*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 910–912, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2019.08.005.

[63] D. S. Yeager *et al.*, "A national experiment reveals where a growth mindset improves achievement," *Nature*, vol. 573, no. 7774, pp. 364–369, 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1466-y.

[64] B. Bai and J. Wang, "Hong Kong secondary students' self-regulated learning strategy use and English writing: Influences of

motivational beliefs," *System*, vol. 96, p. 102404, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.system.2020.102404.

[65] T. S. Samuel and J. Warner, "'I can Math!': Reducing Math anxiety and increasing Math self-efficacy using a mindfulness and growth mindset-based intervention in first-year students," *Community Coll. J. Res. Pract.*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 205–222, 2021, doi: 10.1080/10668926.2019.1666063.

[66] J. L. Larberg and L. H. Sherlin, "Grit and growth mindset contribution to school counseling services," *SAGE Open*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1–10, 2021, doi: 10.1177/21582440211014512.

[67] D. Sethi and S. Shashwati, "Say no to setbacks: Grit & growth mindset have got your back," *Int. J. Innov. Stud. Sociol. Humanit.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 18–26, 2019, [Online]. Available: www.ijissh.org.

[68] A. L. Duckworth, *Grit: The Power of Passion and Perseverance*. New York: Scribner, 2016.

[69] D. S. Yeager *et al.*, "Boring but important: A self-transcendent purpose for learning fosters academic self-regulation," *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.*, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 559–580, 2014, doi: 10.1037/a0037637.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS

Sugiarti is a senior lecturer in the Department of Indonesian Language Education, Faculty of Teacher and Training Education at Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Malang, Indonesia. She is specialized in Indonesian literature and its learning. Her research interest includes teaching and learning, literature, gender studies, and Indonesian literature education. She can be contacted at email: sugiarti@umm.ac.id. ORCID id: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2911-5250.

Arti Prihatini is a lecturer in the Department of Indonesian Language Education, Faculty of Teacher and Training Education at Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Malang, Indonesia. She is specialized in Indonesian language education. Her research interest includes teaching and learning, linguistics, and bibliometric analysis. She can be contacted at email: artiprihatini@umm.ac.id. ORCID id: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3805-4851. Scopus id: 58111985300

Atika Permata Sari is a lecturer in the Department of Psychology, Faculty of Psychology at Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Malang, Indonesia. She is specialized in educational psychology. Her research interest includes educational and developmental psychology. She can be contacted at email: atikapermatasari@umm.ac.id. ORCID id: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-4718-5636

Gamal Kusuma Zamahsari is a lecturer in the Digital Language Learning Center, Communication Science Department, Faculty of Humanities at Bina Nusantara University, Jakarta, Indonesia. He is specialized in the Indonesian language for foreign learners teaching. His research interest includes bibliometric analysis, scientometrics, teaching and learning, e-learning, online learning, blended learning, and the educational field. He can be contacted at email: gamal.zamahsari@binus.edu. ORCID id: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8891-3493. Scopus id: 57219563945