# Analisis tingkat kesejahteraan, ekologi, dan manajemen satwa di Pusat Latihan Gajah Seblat, Bengkulu-Indonesia

## Analysis of welfare levels, ecology, and animal management in Seblat **Elephant Training Center, Bengkulu-Indonesia**

## <sup>1\*</sup>Cassytta D. Imtiyaaz, <sup>1</sup>Amir Syarifuddin, <sup>1</sup>Joko Triwanto, <sup>2</sup>Rosyid R. Al-Hakım

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history Received Revised : Accepted :

Corresponding Author\* : imtiyaazcasytta@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of Forestry, Faculty of Agriculture-Animal Science, University of Muhammadiyah Malang, Malang, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Graduate School, IPB University, Indonesia

Cara Sitasi : Imtiyaaz, C.D., Syarifuddin, A Triwanto, J. dan Al-Hakim, R.R. 2021. Analysis of Welfale Levels, Ecology, and Animal Management in Seblat Elephant Training Center, Bengkulu-Indonesia. Journal of Biotechnology and Natural Sciences. 1(2): .....

#### ABSTRAK

Gajah Sumatera (Elephas maximus sumatranus) merupakan salah satu jenis mamalia besar yang dilindungi dan termasuk dalam kategori terancam punah berdasarkan IUCN Red List. Pengelolaan habitat seperti pengendalian dan pengaturan vegetasi hutan, areal terbuka, sumber air, tempat pengasinan, dan areal peristirahatan untuk kesejahteraan satwa yang baik. Pusat Latihan Gajah (PLG) Seblat merupakan kawasan konservasi untuk melindungi Gajah Sumatera dari kepunahan dan tujuan ekowisata. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis tingkat kesejahteraan dan pengelolaan gajah Sumatera di PLG Seblat. Metode penelitian ini berupa wawancara terstruktur untuk mengetahui indikator tingkat kesejahteraan hewan. Perhitungan produktivitas pakan hijau dan pengumpulan data sekunder digunakan untuk meningkatkan tingkat kesejahteraan Gajah Sumatera. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa tingkat kesejahteraan gajah Sumatera termasuk dalam klasifikasi sangat baik (nilai capaian 74,3%). Selain itu, aspek bebas dari ketidaknyamanan lingkungan perlu ditingkatkan dengan menyediakan kandang karantina dan kandang reproduksi untuk mendukung tingkah laku alami. Kondisi lingkungan juga mendukung tingkat kesejahteraan gajah Sumatera. Kesimpulannya lokasi ini mendukung kesejahteraan gajah Sumatera dengan baik.

Kata Kunci: Elephas maximus sumatranus, hewan sehat, konservasi, produktivitas pakan, Sumatera

#### ABSTRACT

The Sumatran elephant (Elephas maximus sumatranus) is a large mammal species protected and included in the endangered category based on the IUCN Red List. Habitat management includes controlling and regulating forest vegetation, open areas, water sources, salting sites, and resting areas for good animal welfare. The Seblat Elephant Training Center (SETC) is a conservation area to protect the Sumatran Elephant from extinction and is an ecotourism destination. This study aimed to analyze the welfare level and management of the Sumatran elephant in the SETC. This research method used structured interviews to determine the indicators of the animal welfare level. Calculation of feed productivity and secondary data collection were used to increase the welfare level of the Sumatran Elephant. The research result stated that the Sumatran elephant's welfare level is included in the excellent classification (the implementation achievement value is 74.3%). Besides, the development of observations explains that the aspect of being free from environmental discomfort needs to be improved by providing quarantine cages and reproductive cages to support natural behavior. Environmental conditions also support the welfare level of the Sumatran elephant. In conclusion, this location supports the welfare of Sumatran elephants well.

Keywords : conservation, Elephas maximus sumatranus, feed productivity, healthy animal, Sumatra

#### Introduction

The Sumatran elephant (*Elephas maximus sumatranus*) is one of the large mammal species found on the island of Sumatra–Indonesia. Elephants can be grouped into two Asian elephants and African elephants. The Sumatran elephant is a rare animal that has been protected by Indonesian law since the Dutch era with the Regulation of the Protection of Wild Animals in 1931 No. 134 and 266 (Abdullah et al., 2012). In the balance of the ecosystem, elephants have a role, among others, as agents of dispersal of plant seeds and controlling flora growth (Meytasari et al., 2014). Elephants also have an essential role in supporting wild elephant conflicts, often used for economic purposes such as tourist attractions. This animal is protected under the *Regulation of* the Ministry of Environment and Forestry of the Republic of Indonesia Number P.20 of 2018 is included in the critically endangered (CR) category based on the IUCN Red List; the Sumatran elephant is also included in Appendix 1. Estimates in 2007 put the number of elephants at 2,400–2,800, but in 2013 there were 1,970 elephants left. In the last report, during 2012–2016, the number even decreased. At least 150 elephants were killed due to conflict or poaching (Kuswanda, 2018). The threat of elephants is caused by the narrowing of habitat, high hunting, and conflicts with the community. Animal–human conflict is a serious threat to elephants and can cause economic losses (Garsetiasih et al., 2018). For that reason, it's essential to know the level of welfare of elephants in a location, including conservation areas.

The remaining elephant habitat in Bengkulu Province–Indonesia is in the landscape from the Seblat Nature Park (SNP) to Kerinci Seblat National Park (KSNP). The current condition of this elephant's natural habitat has been fragmented and resulted in the splitting of a large group of Sumatran elephants into small groups consisting of only a few individuals. Known places of the Sumatran elephant population, such as Bengkulu–Indonesia, are at very high risk and extinction. The conservation program needs to continue to be developed, both protection in natural habitats (in-situ conservation) and sustainable use outside their habitats (ex-situ conservation). One of the efforts to establish ex-situ conservation is the management of tame elephants (captive). Captive elephants are captured due to conflicts with humans in residential areas, plantations, and other development activities. Catching elephants and placing and training tamed elephants is a form of elephant conservation outside their natural habitat (ex-situ) (Kuswanda et al., 2018). The Sumatran elephant is categorized as an *Evolutionary Significant Unit* (ESU). It has a high priority in conserving Asian elephants concerning management in captivity such as zoos and safari parks. These two sub-species must be placed and managed to avoid interbreeding. Intermarriage between the two is very much against the concept of ex-situ elephant management (Fernando et al., 2003; Suhartono et al., 2007).

The survival of the Sumatran elephant also depends on habitat management which includes controlling and regulating forest vegetation, open areas, water sources, salting places, and resting areas (Novitri & Abdullah, 2017). Animal welfare is how animals behave naturally following their natural habitat. Animals have a good level of interest if they are healthy, comfortable, well cared for, safe, can express innate behavior, and do not suffer (injury, fear, and stress). The condition of the Sumatran Elephant in the Minas Training Center–Sumatra, Indonesia, reported that the habitat is suitable for the Sumatran Elephant (Suhada et al., 2016). Besides, another elephant training center in Sumatra island, the Seblat Elephant Training Center (SETC), is a conservation area that protects Sumatran elephants from extinction, an ecotourism destination, and an elephant conservation center (ECC). Research related to the analysis of the level of animal welfare and care conditions of Sumatran elephants in the SETC area.

The Sumatran elephant is protected wildlife, and its existence continues to decline due to many external factors. The level of animal welfare needs to be known as a basis for improving the management of the Sumatran elephant care. Five principles of animal welfare must be considered and fulfilled by every conservation agency manager, such as freedom from hunger and thirst, freedom from discomfort, freedom from injury, illness, and disease, freedom from pressure and stress, and freedom to express natural behavior. This study analyses welfare levels, ecology, and animal management in SETC.

## Method

#### **Study Site**

This research was conducted in August–September 2020, where the study held on the Seblat Elephant Training Center (SETC) area (locally known as *Pusat Latihan Gajah Seblat; PLG Seblat*) with 03°03'12"–03°09'24" South Latitude and 101°39'18"–101°44'50" East Longitude with a total area of 6,865 Ha. Administratively, it is located in the North Bengkulu Regency, Bengkulu Province, the Republic of Indonesia, that is covering the *Putri Hijau* sub-district, with the following regional boundaries: north: bordering the palm oil plantation company of *Alno Agro Utama*, south: bordering *Suka Baru, Suka Maju*, and *Suka Merindu* Villages, east: bordering the palm oil plantation company of *Agricinal*, west: connecting the *Village Unit Settlement V* (*Cipta Mulya*) and *Village Unit Settlement VII* (*Air Pandan*) and *Dusun Pulau* Village.

This site was managed by the Regional of National Conservation Agency–Indonesia (*BKSDA Bengkulu*); it refers to maintaining, caring for, and training the elephants, also preventing the extinction of elephants due to pressure and threats to the function and existence of the forest (such as encroachment, illegal logging, and other illegal activities). It also increases the forest function

of the training center area into a conservation site to reduce the rate of damage, maintain the existence of the training center's forest area, and develop the potential of a tourism spot (Figure 1).





Figure 1. Study site. The purple color explains the area of this research.

## **Sampling Method**

The sampling method measured environmental factors (abiotic factors), green feed productivity, and assessing elephant welfare. Measurement of feed productivity was carried out in circular grazing locations with a radius of 30 m, and the amount was adjusted to the number of grazing locations per day. Halls (1964) stated that to observe the productivity of the area of each observation plot is 1 m x 1 m which is placed at the grazing location. Measurement of feed productivity was carried out at two grazing sites, with each area consisting of 3 plots (Figure 2). The grass in the plots was cut and then allowed to regrow for 20 days and then cut back and weighed to see its productivity.



**Figure 2**. Feed productivity plots are based on two grazing sites, with each area consisting of 3 plots of 1x1 m (Tohir et al., 2016).

Calculation of elephant feed productivity aims to determine the adequacy of elephant feed-in grazing areas. Productivity calculation was done by using equation 1. The abiotic factors were collected by secondary data, such as climate condition, humidity, altitude, rainfall, space, and elephant disturbance data by observation and literature study. Data collection regarding elephant welfare was carried out directly by looking at field conditions. Interviews were conducted structured with the *mahout* (elephant keeper) and SETC area manager to examine management aspects related to elephant welfare, including organization, elephant condition, cage management, feed, health, and reproduction.

Seblat Elephant Training Center (SETC) generally has a flat to wavy topography with an altitude ranging from  $\pm 56-113$  m above sea level. The area in the north is relatively flat compared to the site in the south. The optimum area that can be combed by elephants when tied in chains with a length of 15–30 m based on the formula for the circle's location is 706.5–2,826 m<sup>2</sup> or 0.0007–0.003 Km.

#### Data Analysis

The equation calculated the green feed productivity using equation 1 (Suhada et al., 2016).

Productivity = Feed biomass total 
$$\left(\frac{\frac{kg}{m^2}}{day}\right) x$$
 grazing area  $\left(\frac{m^2}{day}\right)$  (1)

In assessing the level of welfare of elephants, there is a weight of assessment on aspects of elephant welfare. Each assessment weight has a score between 1-5 (Table 1). Furthermore, after obtaining the weighted value of each element, the Achievement of Animal Welfare Implementation (AAWI) calculation was carried out with equation 2 (Tohir et al., 2016).

 $AAWI = \frac{\Sigma W eighted value of each parameter}{\Sigma Elephant welf are parameters}$ 

(2)

The classification of elephant welfare parameters and weighted values of elephant welfare are presented in Table 2.

| Table 1. The weight value of parameters' welfare aspects. |        |       |                |  |
|---|--------|-------|----------------|--|
| Animal Welfare  | Weight | Score | Weighted Score |  |
| Free from hunger and thirst                               | 30     | 1-5   | 30-150         |  |
| Free from environmental inconvenience                     | 20     | 1-5   | 20-100         |  |
| Free from pain, injury, disease                           | 20     | 1-5   | 20-100         |  |
| Free from fear and stress                                 | 15     | 1-5   | 15-75          |  |
| Free to act naturally                                     | 15     | 1-5   | 15-75          |  |

JBNS Vol. 1. No 2, December 2021 p. 64-74

Sources: (Ayudewanti, 2013). Notes: score interval between 1 and 5, 1 is the lower score (bad condition), and 5 is the highest (best shape) score.

| Table 2. | Classification | of assessments and | weighted | values of | of elept | hant welfare. |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|----------|---------------|
|          |                |                    |          |           |          |               |

| No.                   | Rating classification | Implementation achievements |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 1                     | Very good             | 8-100                       |  |  |
| 2                     | Well                  | 70-79                       |  |  |
| 3                     | Enough                | 60-69                       |  |  |
| 4                     | Need coaching         | <60                         |  |  |
| Source: (PHKA, 2011). |                       |                             |  |  |

## **Results and Discussion**

## **Environmental Factors**

Based on the climate classification of Schmidt and Ferguson, Seblat Elephant Training Center (SETC) is included in the type A climate, which is an area that experiences rain almost evenly throughout the year. The average air temperature ranges from 26.6 to 27.7 °C. The average monthly maximum temperature ranges from 31.3 to 32.4 °C, and the average minimum temperature ranges from 21.3 to 22.3 °C. The average humidity is 86%, with wind speeds ranging from 7.2 to 13.3 knots. Seblat Elephant Training Center (SETC) is located ±150 Km from Bengkulu, can be reached by motorized vehicles with 2/4/6 wheels. Travel time is ±4.5 hours from Bengkulu. The condition of the road up to *Putri Hijau* Subdistrict is quite good. Still, the state of the road to reach SNP through the *Marga Sakti* Subdistrict, North Bengkulu Regency, is in poor condition, or the ordinary asphalt road is in partially damaged condition.

The roaming area is the area covered by elephants in SETC that is included in the average distance of elephants in nature (Novitri & Abdullah, 2017). Elephants naturally need large areas to forage for food and meet their daily needs, so it is hoped that the home range of tame elephants will be increased to provide good blood circulation if they get more variety of food. The visitor's presence does not affect the natural behavior of the elephant, and the audience gives the food is sorted first by the *mahout*—tourism activities in the form of group photos and elephant riding (Syarifuddin, 2008). The cage used in the SETC area is an open cage type. The barriers and doors used in the cell are made of sharp wire-shaped not to harm the elephants. The grazing of female elephants is done by tying the elephants using a 15 to 30-meter-long chain located in open land for 2–3 hours, and the transfer is carried out every day where the shift rotation is arranged in such a way (*mahout* rides the elephant during the grazing process). This type of cage is also applied by the flying squad elephant at Tesso Nilo National Park (TNNP). The characteristics of the pen are

good cell, so it's suitable for elephant moving, can protect from weather effect, have good ventilation, have a sufficient area, has a floor that is easy to clean, has a drain, and has a strong chain link. The correct location of the cage must pay attention to the distance from the water source, away from the main road, facing north or south to reduce sun exposure, and built on a flat area (Phuangkum et al., 2005).

The grazing of male Sumatran elephants is carried out in the area around the site to avoid disturbance and conflict with the surrounding community. The barriers and doors used in the cage are made of sharp wire-shaped to be safe for elephants. The selection of grazing sites is adjusted by considering the presence of tree vegetation as shade and the availability of sufficient feed. Vegetation serves as a shade to protect the elephants from the hot sun. Temperature and humidity are one aspect of supporting elephant comfort where elephants are sensitive to heat. Elephants generally cover their bodies with soil or mud to cool their bodies. If it is not often muddy or wallowing, elephant skin will experience dryness due to sunlight, insect bites, and moisture loss.

## **Green Feed Productivity**

Measurement of green feed productivity was carried out to determine the amount of natural feed found in the site area, then calculated against the size of grazing per day presented in Table 3.

| No   | Local Name      | Scientific name                | Total biomass<br>(Kg/m²/day) | Productivity at grazing sites (Kg/day) |
|------|-----------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1.   | Rumput<br>Gajah | Cyperus imbricatus Retz.       | 0.053                        | 299.56                                 |
| 2.   | Alang-Alang     | Imperata cylindrica (L.)       | 0.08                         | 452.16                                 |
| 3.   | Akar Petaian    | Caesalpinia sp.                | 0.015                        | 84.78                                  |
| 4.   | Rumput<br>Bambu | Selaginella plana Hieron       | 0.05                         | 282.6                                  |
| 5.   | Salak Hutan     | Calamus cf. Javensis<br>Blumee | 0.005                        | 28.26                                  |
| 6.   | Sedunduk        | Melastoma<br>malabathricum L.  | 0.14                         | 791.28                                 |
| Tota | 1               |                                | 0.343                        | 1938.64                                |

The total biomass of the six types of feed was 0.343 Kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day. The calculation of forage productivity for elephants per day at grazing locations obtained a value of 1938.64 Kg, with the most extensive feed dominance being *Melastoma malabathricum* (791.28 Kg/day), much as 40.81% of the total forage productivity of elephants. With this result, the same with previous research that reported the most elephant's feed dominance is *Melastoma malabathricum* (28.26 Kg/day) (Tohir et al., 2016); besides, another study said that the most preferred of elephant's feed dominance by *Imperata cylindrica* (Rahmanda et al., 2020). The entire productivity value obtained for the area of grazing sites per day is almost sufficient for the needs of Sumatran elephants.

## JBNS Vol. 1. No 2, December 2021 p. 64-74

According to Shoshani & Eisenberg (1982), per day, elephants need to feed as much as 10% of the bodyweight of each elephant. The bodyweight of the Sumatran elephant in the area is an average of 2,200 Kg, so it requires as much as 220 Kg of feed/head/day. There are ten individual Sumatran elephants, so they need 2,200 Kg/day of feed. This feed shortage can be corrected by extending the chain-link or developing habitat. Adequacy of additional feed and supplements must be met by weighing the weight of the meal according to the elephant's needs and establishing a system of cooperation with the community as a supplier of elephant feed (Martiani, 2002).

The additional feed was given to elephants in King grass (*Pennisetum purpuphoides*) and palm fronds. In SETC does not yet have a tool to weigh the appropriate elephant feed, so mahout's weight measurement is carried out using estimates based on Olson (2004). Size of feed weight is essential because it will affect spread needs an elephant health calculation. SETC area seeks to increase the quantity of elephant feed availability by cultivating 2 Ha of King grass so that the King grass is given in new condition. After harvesting, mahout immediately passes it to the elephants for immediate consumption. Supplements are foods with unique ingredients usually only given to elephants in fatigue, illness, malnutrition, and old age. Supplements available in SETC are bran or pellets, brown sugar, brown rice, and sugarcane stalks gave once a month or according to the elephant's needs. Mahout adjusted the supplementation because not all elephants wanted to take supplements as a whole, seeing some elephants being selective in the choice of feed they consumed; this is because elephants are very subjective in choosing the type of food (Olson, 2004). Some *mahouts* provide supplements by giving separate doses while still paying attention to the nutritional content and rules according to the SETC's manager's standards, such as rice bran. Rice bran contains high nutrients and is very useful for elephants who have strenuous activities and can increase the bodyweight of thin elephants. Sugarcane pellets and stalks are highly nutritional and thus suitable for tired and overworked elephants (Phuangkum et al., 2005).

#### **Elephant Welfare Assessment**

Table 4 shows a list of elephants found in SETC. There are ten elephants, with three males and seven females.

| No. | Name         | Sex    | Weight (Kg) | Age (Year) | Source (Adopted from)       |
|-----|--------------|--------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1   | Anggraeni    | Female | 1664        | 28         | Ipuh, Muko Muko, Bengkulu   |
| 2   | Bona         | Female | 1269        | 9          | PT. Sapta Buana, Alno Group |
| 3   | Desi         | Female | 2196        | 36         | Seblat, North Bengkulu      |
| 4   | Devi         | Female | 1860        | 26         | Ipuh, Muko Muko, Bengkulu   |
| 5   | Fatma        | Female | 2298        | 33         | Ipuh, Muko Muko, Bengkulu   |
| 6   | Mega         | Female | 2010        | 31         | Ipuh, Muko Muko, Bengkulu   |
| 7   | Nelson       | Male   | 3011        | 42         | Seblat, North Bengkulu      |
| 8   | Robi         | Male   | 2711        | 24         | Ipuh, Muko Muko, Bengkulu   |
| 9   | Sari         | Female | 2706        | 43         | Ipuh, Muko Muko, Bengkulu   |
| 10  | Ucok (Cokro) | Male   | 2276        | 26         | Seblat ECC, North Bengkulu  |

| Table 4. List of ele | phants living | ; in | SETC |
|----------------------|---------------|------|------|
|----------------------|---------------|------|------|

Based on Table 4, all elephants look excellent and healthy condition. The weight of this elephant is said to be ideal or fit because the ideal weight of an elephant or declared to be healthy ranges from 1000–3000 Kg (Sukumar, 2003). Health management was carried out by preventive activities every day by *mahout* and every three months by veterinarians through providing vitamins and examining feces, due to knowing the physiological condition. Curative actions are treatment activities carried out if sick animals are coordinated between *mahout*, managers, and veterinarians. If there are mildly ill animals, *mahout* will handle them directly through coordination with the veterinarian and the procedures set out in the standard guidebooks. Elephant health reports are available in detail and are well archived. It is essential to know the medical history and handling when an elephant is sick. Medical care is critical, and even *mahout* must have basic medical skills such as treating minor injuries to elephants (Phuangkum et al., 2005).

Health facilities at SETC are complete with supporting elephant welfare. Medicines and medical supplies are available and neatly stored in storage warehouses. Every year, medical examination activities for elephants in collaboration with VESSWIC (Veterinary Society for Sumatran Wildlife) include examining feces, feet, and care and handling. Routine foot care is carried out and provided, including essential radiographs and an exercise program to support elephant health (Brown, 2019). In addition, the diseases that are often found in elephants in SETC are intestinal worms and eye pain. Deworming is carried out routinely by the manager. The level of welfare of elephants in the SETC area is classified as good, with the achievement of implementation reaching a value of 76.78% in Table 5.

| No                  | Welfare aspect                     | Weight      | Score  | Weighted value |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 1.                  | Free from Hunger and Thirst        | 30          | 3.71   | 111.3          |
| 2.                  | Free from Environmental Discomfort | 20          | 3.33   | 66.6           |
| 3.                  | Free from Pain, Wounds, and        | 20          | 3.7    | 74             |
|                     | Disease                            |             |        |                |
| 4.                  | Free from Fear and Depression      | 15          | 4      | 60             |
| 5.                  | Free to Behave Natural             | 15          | 4.8    | 72             |
| Tota                | 1                                  | 100         | 19.54  | 383.9          |
| Aver                | age                                | 3,908 76.78 |        |                |
| AAWI score Well 76. |                                    |             | 76.78% |                |

Table 5. The level of welfare of elephants in SETC.

Notes: assessment completed using equation 2 of interview observation.

Based on Table 5, the management reasonably considers the control of the welfare level of elephants on the aspect of being free from hunger and thirst, which is the most influential factor in the level of welfare of elephants in SETC with a weighted value of 111.3. Being free from fear and depression had the lowest weighted value of 60—provision of quarantine and reproductive cages under the criteria and condition of reproductive cells to support natural behavior. According to Rahmanda et al. (2020), elephants also need a safe and comfortable atmosphere so that

breeding behavior is not disturbed and the reproductive process can run well. It is essential to support the conservation of Sumatran elephants. Sumatran elephants have low reproductive capacity due to a long gestation period ranging from 18–23 months, with an average pregnancy of 21 months and a female elephant's gestation distance of about four years (Sukumar, 2003).

## **Conclusion and Future Recommendation**

The level of animal welfare and the care conditions of the Sumatran elephant (*Elephas maximus sumatranus*) in SETC, it can be concluded that the welfare level is classified as good with the implementation achievement reaching a value of 76.78%. Its shows that the management reasonably considers the control of the elephant welfare level. Several aspects, such as being free from environmental discomfort, need to be improved by providing quarantine cages that meet the criteria and providing reproductive cells to support natural behavior.

Due to this study's limitations, we suggest behavioral research on the Sumatran elephant at SETC; because the level of welfare is in the excellent category, it would be better to complement it by research on its behavior.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank all stakeholders who have provided support and assistance during the research.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest concerning the work presented in this report.

## References

- Abdullah, Asiah, & Japisa, T. (2012). Karakteristik Habitat Gajah Sumatera (*Elephas maximus sumatranus*) di Kawasan Ekosistem Seulawah Kabupaten Aceh Besar. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Biologi*, 4(1), 41-45.
- Ayudewanti, A. N. (2013). Pengelolaan dan tingkat kesejahteraan gajah sumatera (Elephas maximus sumatranus Temminck, 1847) di Taman Margasatwa Ragunan. Thesis. Bogor: Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Brown, J. L. (2019). Update on Comparative Biology of Elephants: Factors Affecting Reproduction, Health and Welfare. In Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology (Vol. 1200, pp. 243-273). Springer, Cham. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23633-5\_9</u>
- Fernando, P., Vidya, T. N. C., Payne, J., Stuewe, M., Davison, G., Alfred, R. J., Andau, P., Bosi, E., Kilbourn, A., & Melnick, D. J. (2003). DNA Analysis Indicates That Asian Elephants Are Native to Borneo and Are Therefore a High Priority for Conservation. *PLOS Biology*, 1(1), e6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0000006</u>
- Garsetiasih, R., Rianti, A., & Takanjandji, M. (2018). Potensi Vegetasi Dan Daya Dukung Untuk Habitat Gajah Sumatera (*Elephas maximus sumatranus*) Di Areal Perkebunan Dan Hutan

Produksi Kecamatan Sungai Menang, Kabupaten Ogan Komering Ilir. *Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Hayati*, 17(1), 1-90. <u>https://doi.org/10.14203/beritabiologi.v17i1.2997</u>

- Halls, L. K. (1964). *Forage and cattle management in longleaf-slash pine forests*. US Government Printing Office.
- Kuswanda, W. (2018). Karakteristik Sosial Ekonomi dan Kebijakan Mitigasi Konflik Manusia-Gajah di Resort Besitang, Taman Nasional Gunung Leuser. *Inovasi*, 15, 153-162. <u>https://doi.org/10.33626/inovasi.v15i2.49</u>
- Kuswanda, W., Situmorang., R. O. ., Berliani., K., Barus., S. P., & Silalahi., J. (2018). Konservasi dan Ekowisata Gajah Sebuah Model dari KHDTK Aek Nauli (H. S. Alikodra & P. Setio (eds.)). IPB Press.
- Martiani, Y. (2002). *Manajemen pemeliharaan gajah sumatera di Taman Safari Indonesia*, Bogor. Thesis. Bogor: Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Meytasari, P., Bakri, S., & Herwanti, S. (2014). Developing Domestication Criteria and Evaluation for the Elephant Nurturing Practice: Case Study at Way Kambas National Park of East Lampung-Indonesia. *Jurnal Sylfa Lestari*, 2(2), 79-88. <u>https://doi.org/10.23960/jsl2279-88</u>
- Novitri, A., & Abdullah, M. S. (2017). Studi Kondisi Pengasuhan Gajah Sumatera (*Elephas maximus sumateranus*) di Pusat Konservasi Gajah Saree, Aceh Besar. *Biologi Edukasi*, 9(1), 30-38.
- Olson, D. (2004). Elephant Husbandary Resources Guide. Allen Pr.
- PHKA. (2011). Pedoman Etika dan Kesejahteraan Satwa di Lembaga Konservasi.
- Phuangkum, P., Lair, R. C., & Angkawanith, T. (2005). Elephant care manual for mahouts and camp managers. FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific.
- Rahmanda, S., Kamal, S., & Amin, N. (2020). Preferensi Pakan Gajah Sumatera (*Elephas maximus sumatranus*) di Conservation Response Unit (CRU) Trumon Kabupaten Aceh Selatan. *Prosiding Biotik*, 8(1).
- Shoshani, J., & Eisenberg, J. F. (1982). *Elephas maximus. Mammalian Species*, 182, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.2307/3504045
- Suhada, N., Yoza, D., & Arlita, T. (2016). *Habitat Optimal Gajah Sumatera (Elephas maximus sumatranus Temminck.) Di Pusat Latihan Gajah (PLG) Minas*. Thesis. Riau: Riau University.
- Suhartono, T., Susilo, H. D., Sitompul, A. F., Gunaryadi, D., Purastuti, E. M., Azmi, W., Fadhil, N., & Stremme, C. (2007). Strategi dan Rencana Aksi Konservasi Gajah Sumatera dan Gajah Kalimantan 2007-2017. Direktorat Jenderal Perlindungan Hutan dan Konservasi Alam, Departemen Kehutanan.
- Sukumar, R. (2003). *The living elephants: evolutionary ecology, behaviour, and conservation*. Oxford University Press.
- Syarifuddin, H. (2008). Preferensi Hijauan Pakan Gajah Sumatera (*Elephas maximus sumatranus*): Studi Kasus Di Kawasan Seblat. Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu-Ilmu Peternakan Universitas Jambi, XI(4), 83-92.
- Tohir, R. K., Mustari, A. H., & Masy'ud, B. (2016). Pengelolaan dan Tingkat Kesejahteraan Gajah Sumatera (*Elephas maximus sumatranus* Temminck, 1847) di Flying Squad WWF Taman Nasional Tesso Nilo RIAU. *Media Konservasi*, 21(2), 152-158.