Study of object on this research about pre-judicature verdict Number 02/Pra.Pidana/2004 Pengadilan Negeri Malang where have been sentenced by singel judge on Pre-judicature distric court of Malang about arrest action office of a public prosecutor of Malang, that has been submited by vinno thomson via her attorney Jhonny Hehakaya,SH. By that verdict the judge of pre-judicature has been denied appeal Vinno Thomson pre-judicature.

Based on statement from (JPPM) Jaringan Pemantau Peradilan Indonesia of Malang in statement have been explain that diviorgence of law on verdict. So by that verdict the petitioner has been felt suffer of financial loses. From that statement writer is attracted to researt that problem what are the basic and reason that have been done by pre-judicature appeal, what are the basic of the law consideration for the judge have denied that appeal and analyze about pre-judicature verdict.

The purpose of this research is re-study of verdict where has been sentenced by judge pre-judicature distric court of malang. By this case are try find thruth of law fact that have proved on pre-judicature inspection assemble proces.

The research methodology is used in this study is descriptive analysis method. So this study the writer describe totality of the law fact and will be analyzed critical and deeply.

By this research the writer get the result that verdict juridist can be adjusted, because this case undertanable that arrest step office of a public prosecutor is argritariness of law, an this arrest step didn’t out of argritariness line’s office of ao a public prosecutor of kepanjen because the witness was stayed in Malang, so that Vinno Thomson was arrestted.

So by explanation above can conclude vinno thomson’s accusation pre-judicature’s accusation of patition has been danied beacause of the petitioner can not prove that have been done office of a public prosecutor of Malang was unlawful for that judge of pre-judicature distric of malang have been danied that petition. The dicision has been given by judge of pre-judicature and according with formil privat law. According to juridic that dicision has been concreted and can hold responsibied according to law.